

Official Journal

of the European Communities

ISSN 0378-6978

L 384

Volume 23

31 December 1980

English edition

Legislation

Contents

I *Acts whose publication is obligatory*

.....

II *Acts whose publication is not obligatory*

Commission

80/1336/EEC:

- ★ Commission Decision of 17 December 1980 approving a programme of the Federal Republic of Germany for the milk and milk products sector in the Land Bavaria, pursuant to Council Regulation (EEC) No 355/77 1

80/1337/EEC:

- ★ Commission Decision of 17 December 1980 approving a programme for the milk and milk products sector of the Land North Rhine-Westphalia, pursuant to Council Regulation (EEC) No 355/77 3

80/1338/EEC:

- ★ Commission Decision of 17 December 1980 approving a programme for the improvement of wholesale marketing conditions and of the intermediate distribution of fresh fruit and vegetables in the Netherlands, pursuant to Council Regulation (EEC) No 355/77 4

80/1339/EEC:

- ★ Commission Decision of 18 December 1980 approving a programme for the fruit and vegetable juice sector in Rhineland-Palatinate and the Saarland pursuant to Council Regulation (EEC) No 355/77 5

80/1340/EEC:

- ★ Commission Decision of 18 December 1980 approving a programme relating to the processing and marketing of beef and pork in the Land Hesse pursuant to Council Regulation (EEC) No 355/77 6

80/1341/EEC:

- ★ Commission Decision of 18 December 1980 on the implementation of the reform of agricultural structures in Ireland pursuant to Title II of Directive 75/268/EEC 7

80/1342/EEC:

- ★ Commission Decision of 18 December 1980 on the implementation of the reform of agricultural structures in Belgium pursuant to Council Directives 72/159/EEC and 75/268/EEC 8

80/1343/EEC:

- ★ Commission Decision of 22 December 1980 establishing that the apparatus described as 'Vega — peptide synthesizer, model 96' may be imported free of Common Customs Tariff duties 9

80/1344/EEC:

- ★ Commission Decision of 22 December 1980 establishing that the apparatus described as 'PAR—OMA system', consisting of 'intensifier detector head, model 1205 D', 'polychromator UV-VIS-NIR, model 1208', 'display, model 604', 'optical multichannel analyzer console, model 1205 A', 'scintillator for intensifier detector head, model 1205 D/019', may be imported free of Common Customs Tariff duties 10

80/1345/EEC:

- ★ Commission Decision of 22 December 1980 establishing that the apparatus described as 'Beckman—amino acid analyzer, model 121 M', may not be imported free of Common Customs Tariff duties 11

80/1346/EEC:

- ★ Commission Decision of 22 December 1980 establishing that the apparatus described as 'Quanta-ray — laboratory laser system, model DCR-IA Nd: YAG' may not be imported free of Common Customs Tariff duties 12

80/1347/EEC:

- ★ Commission Decision of 22 December 1980 establishing that the apparatus described as 'Ortec — microanalysis system, model 6230' may not be imported free of Common Customs Tariff duties 13

80/1348/EEC:

- ★ Commission Decision of 22 December 1980 establishing that the apparatus described as 'Canberra — multichannel analyzer, model 8600' may not be imported free of Common Customs Tariff duties 14

80/1349/EEC:

- ★ Commission Decision of 22 December 1980 establishing that the apparatus described as 'Tektronix — graphic terminal, model 4006-1' may not be imported free of Common Customs Tariff duties 15

80/1350/EEC:

- ★ Commission Decision of 22 December 1980 establishing that the apparatus described as 'Tektronix — controller based programmable digitizer system, model WP 2250' may not be imported free of Common Customs Tariff duties 16

80/1351/EEC:

- ★ Commission Decision of 22 December 1980 establishing that the apparatus described as 'Le Croy — 8-channel updating discriminator, NIM model 623; triple 4-fold Logic unit, NIM model 465; quad linear fan-in/fan-out, NIM model 428 variable attenuator, model A 101 L' may not be imported free of Common Customs Tariff duties 17

80/1352/EEC:

- ★ Commission Decision of 22 December 1980 establishing that the apparatus described as 'Hamamatsu — videocamera C-1000-02' may not be imported free of Common Customs Tariff duties 18

80/1353/EEC:

- ★ Commission Decision of 22 December 1980 repealing certain Decisions concerning importation free of Common Customs Tariff duties of certain scientific apparatus 19

80/1354/EEC:

Commission Decision of 23 December 1980 authorizing the French Republic to store outside its territory, during the first six months of 1981, colza and rape seed held by its intervention agency 28

80/1355/EEC:

Commission Decision of 29 December 1980 opening a standing invitation to tender for the export of 500 000 tonnes of common wheat of bread-making quality held by the German intervention agency 30

80/1356/EEC:

Commission Decision of 29 December 1980 opening a standing invitation to tender for the export of 150 000 tonnes of barley held by the British intervention agency 33

80/1357/EEC:

Commission Decision of 29 December 1980 opening a standing invitation to tender for the export to Poland of 175 000 tonnes of barley held by the British intervention agency 36

80/1358/EEC:

Commission Decision of 29 December 1980 opening a standing invitation to tender for the export to Poland of 25 000 tonnes of rye held by the Danish intervention agency .. 39

80/1359/EEC:

- ★ Commission Decision of 30 December 1980 authorizing the Federal Republic of Germany to restrict the marketing of seed of certain varieties of agricultural plant species 42

80/1360/EEC:

- ★ Commission Decision of 30 December 1980 authorizing the French Republic to restrict the marketing of seed of certain varieties of agricultural plant species 44

80/1361/EEC:

- ★ Commission Decision of 30 December 1980 authorizing the United Kingdom to restrict the marketing of seed of certain varieties of agricultural plant species 46

70/1362/EEC:

Commission Decision of 30 December 1980 authorizing Ireland to store outside its territory, during the first quarter of 1981, beef products held by its intervention agency 48

II

(Acts whose publication is not obligatory)

COMMISSION

COMMISSION DECISION

of 17 December 1980

approving a programme of the Federal Republic of Germany for the milk and milk products sector in the Land Bavaria, pursuant to Council Regulation (EEC) No 355/77

(Only the German text is authentic)

(80/1336/EEC)

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

whereas it is therefore a programme within the meaning of Article 2 of Regulation (EEC) No 355/77;

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Economic Community,

Whereas approval of the programme can be given only subject to future Community policy for rectifying the structural surpluses in the milk sector;

Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC) No 355/77 of 15 February 1977 on common measures to improve the conditions under which agricultural products are processed and marketed ⁽¹⁾, and in particular Article 5 thereof,

Whereas approval of the programme does not extend either to projects for increasing production capacity of UHT milk or for increasing cheese manufacturing capacity, having the effect of increasing the present milk processing capacity, or which concern the types of cheese for which there is already over-capacity and whose market outlets are not guaranteed;

Whereas, on 7 May 1980, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany forwarded the programme for the milk and milk products sector in the Land Bavaria;

Whereas this programme relates to the rationalization and modernization of marketing and processing facilities for milk and milk products other than butter, milk powder, processed cheese and condensed milk with the aims of achieving a better balance of supply and demand (notably by greater diversification), stabilizing milk production and improving producers' incomes;

Whereas the programme contains the details required under Article 3 of Regulation (EEC) No 355/77, showing that the objectives laid down in Article 1 thereof can be achieved in respect of the sector concerned; whereas the schedule for implementation of the programme does not exceed the time limit laid down in Article 3 (1) (g) of the said Regulation;

Whereas the measures provided for in this Decision are in accordance with the opinion of the Standing Committee on Agricultural Structure,

⁽¹⁾ OJ No L 51, 23. 2. 1977, p. 1.

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

cheese for which there is already over-capacity and whose market outlets are not guaranteed.

Article 1

1. The programme for the milk and milk products sector in the Land Bavaria, submitted by the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany pursuant to Regulation (EEC) No 355/77 on 7 May 1980, is hereby approved.

2. Approval of the programme does not extend to projects for increasing production capacity of UHT milk or for increasing the cheese manufacturing capacity, having the effect of increasing the present milk processing capacity, or which concern the types of

Article 2

This Decision is addressed to the Federal Republic of Germany.

Done at Brussels, 17 December 1980.

For the Commission

Finn GUNDELACH

Vice-President

COMMISSION DECISION

of 17 December 1980

approving a programme for the milk and milk products sector of the Land North Rhine-Westphalia, pursuant to Council Regulation (EEC) No 355/77

(Only the German text is authentic)

(80/1337/EEC)

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Economic Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC) No 355/77 of 15 February 1977 on common measures to improve the conditions under which agricultural products are processed and marketed ⁽¹⁾, and in particular Article 5 thereof,

Whereas, on 23 June 1980, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany forwarded a programme for the milk and milk products sector of the Land North Rhine-Westphalia;

Whereas this programme aims at the restructuring, rationalization and development of central storage and marketing facilities for milk and milk products in order to improve distribution, reduce costs and guarantee producer incomes in the long term; whereas it is therefore a programme within the meaning of Article 2 of Regulation (EEC) No 355/77;

Whereas approval of the programme can only be given subject to future Community policy for rectifying the structural surpluses in the milk sector;

Whereas the programme contains the details required under Article 3 of Regulation (EEC) No 355/77, showing that the objectives laid down in Article 1 thereof can be achieved in respect of the sector concerned; whereas the schedule for implementation of

the programme does not exceed the time limit laid down in Article 3 (1) (g) of the said Regulation;

Whereas the measures provided for in this Decision are in accordance with the opinion of the Standing Committee on Agricultural Structure,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

The programme for the milk and milk products sector in the Land North-Rhine-Westphalia, forwarded by the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany pursuant to Regulation (EEC) No 355/77 on 23 June 1980, is hereby approved.

Article 2

This Decision is addressed to the Federal Republic of Germany.

Done at Brussels, 17 December 1980.

For the Commission

Finn GUNDELACH

Vice-President

⁽¹⁾ OJ No L 51, 23. 2. 1977, p. 1.

COMMISSION DECISION

of 17 December 1980

approving a programme for the improvement of wholesale marketing conditions and for the intermediate distribution of fresh fruit and vegetables in the Netherlands, pursuant to Council Regulation (EEC) No 355/77

(Only the Dutch text is authentic)

(80/1338/EEC)

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Economic Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC) No 355/77 of 15 February 1977 on common measures to improve the conditions under which agricultural products are processed and marketed ⁽¹⁾, and in particular Article 5 thereof,

Whereas, on 11 September 1980, the Dutch Government forwarded a programme for the improvement of wholesale marketing conditions of fruit and vegetables and their intermediate distribution;

Whereas this programme relates to the concentration, rationalization, modernization and equipping of wholesale markets and intermediate distribution for fresh fruit and vegetables, to bring about a better balance of supply and demand, an improvement of prices, a lowering of costs and to stabilize horticultural growers' incomes; whereas it is therefore a programme within the meaning of Article 2 of Regulation (EEC) No 355/77;

Whereas the programme contains the details required under Article 3 of Regulation (EEC) No 355/77, showing that the objectives laid down in Article 1 thereof can be achieved in the fresh fruit and vegetables sector; whereas the schedule for implementation of the programme does not exceed the time limit laid down in Article 3 (1) (g) of the said Regulation;

Whereas the measures provided for in this Decision are in accordance with the opinion of the Standing Committee on Agricultural Structure,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

The programme for the improvement of wholesale marketing conditions and the intermediate distribution of fresh fruit and vegetables, submitted by the Dutch Government pursuant to Regulation (EEC) No 355/77 on 11 September 1980, is hereby approved.

Article 2

This Decision is addressed to the Kingdom of the Netherlands.

Done at Brussels, 17 December 1980.

For the Commission

Finn GUNDELACH

Vice-President

⁽¹⁾ OJ No L 51, 23. 2. 1977, p. 1.

COMMISSION DECISION

of 18 December 1980

approving a programme for the fruit and vegetable juice sector in Rhineland-Palatinate and the Saarland pursuant to Council Regulation (EEC) No 355/77

(Only the German text is authentic)

(80/1339/EEC)

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Economic Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC) No 355/77 of 15 February 1977 on common measures to improve the conditions under which agricultural products are processed and marketed ⁽¹⁾, and in particular Article 5 thereof,

Whereas the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany on 20 August 1979 notified the programme for the fruit and vegetable juice sector in Rhineland-Palatinate and the Saarland and on 7 May 1980 supplied additional information;

Whereas the said programme concerns the construction and rationalization of extensive facilities for processing fruit and vegetables into juice, with the aim of stabilizing the fruit and vegetable market in Rhineland-Palatinate and the Saarland and guaranteeing satisfactory earnings for fruit and vegetables producers; whereas it therefore constitutes a programme within the meaning of Article 2 of Regulation (EEC) No 355/77;

Whereas the programme contains the details referred to in Article 3 of Regulation (EEC) No 355/77, showing that the objectives laid down in Article 1 thereof can be achieved in respect of fruit and vegetables production in Rhineland-Palatinate and the Saarland; whereas the scheduled time for implementation of the programme does not exceed the limit laid down in Article 3 (1) (g) of the Regulation;

Whereas the measures provided for in this Decision are in accordance with the opinion of the Standing Committee on Agricultural Structure,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

The programme communicated by the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany on 20 August 1979, as amplified by information supplied on 7 May 1980, for the fruit and vegetable juice sector in Rhineland-Palatinate and the Saarland pursuant to Regulation (EEC) No 355/77, is hereby approved.

Article 2

This Decision is addressed to the Federal Republic of Germany.

Done at Brussels, 18 December 1980.

For the Commission

Finn GUNDELACH

Vice-President

⁽¹⁾ OJ No L 51, 23. 2. 1977, p. 1.

COMMISSION DECISION

of 18 December 1980

approving a programme relating to the processing and marketing of beef and pork in the Land Hesse pursuant to Council Regulation (EEC) No 355/77

(Only the German text is authentic)

(80/1340/EEC)

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Economic Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC) No 355/77 of 15 February 1977 on common measures to improve the conditions under which agricultural products are processed and marketed ⁽¹⁾, and in particular Article 5 thereof,

Whereas the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany forwarded its programme relating to the processing and marketing of beef and pork in the Land Hesse on 11 July 1980;

Whereas the said programme plans investments in the public slaughterhouses sector for:

- internal rationalization of both slaughterhouses and livestock markets,
- concentration of slaughtering capacity in the main production areas,
- adjustment of refrigeration capacity to existing slaughtering capacity,
- enlargement of livestock markets

with the aim of stabilizing or increasing the producers' income by adjusting production, both qualitatively and quantitatively, to market requirements and by reducing handling and processing costs; whereas it constitutes therefore a programme within the meaning of Article 2 of Regulation (EEC) No 355/77;

Whereas the programme contains the details required under Article 3 of Regulation (EEC) No 355/77,

showing that the objectives laid down in Article 1 thereto can be achieved in respect of the beef and pork sector in Hesse; whereas the schedule for implementation of the programme does not exceed the time limit laid down in Article 3 (1) (g) of the Regulation;

Whereas the measures provided for in this Decision are in accordance with the opinion of the Standing Committee on Agricultural Structure,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

The programme relating to the processing and marketing of beef and pork in the Land Hesse, forwarded by the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany pursuant to Regulation (EEC) No 355/77 on 11 July 1980, is hereby approved.

Article 2

This Decision is addressed to the Federal Republic of Germany.

Done at Brussels, 18 December 1980.

For the Commission

Finn GUNDELACH

Vice-President

⁽¹⁾ OJ No L 51, 23. 2. 1977, p. 1.

COMMISSION DECISION

of 18 December 1980

on the implementation of the reform of agricultural structures in Ireland pursuant to Title II
of Directive 75/268/EEC

(Only the English text is authentic)

(80/1341/EEC)

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European
Economic Community,

Having regard to Council Directive 75/268/EEC of
28 April 1975 on mountain and hill farming and
farming in certain less-favoured areas ⁽¹⁾, and in
particular Article 13 thereof,

Having regard to Council Directive 72/159/EEC of
17 April 1972 on the modernization of farms ⁽²⁾, as
amended by Directives 76/837/EEC ⁽³⁾ and
77/390/EEC ⁽⁴⁾, and in particular Article 18 (3) thereof,

Whereas the Irish Government notified on 31 October
1980 the following regulations:

- cattle headage payments in severely handicapped
areas 1980,
- beef cow scheme in disadvantaged areas 1980,
- scheme of headage payments on hogget ewes and
mountain lambs 1980;

Whereas, under Article 13 of Directive 75/268/EEC
in conjunction with Article 18 (3) of Directive
72/159/EEC, the Commission must decide whether,
having regard to the regulations notified, the existing
provisions in Ireland for the implementation of
Directive 75/268/EEC continue to satisfy the conditions
for financial contribution by the Community to
common measures as referred to in Article 13 of
Directive 75/268/EEC;

Whereas the abovementioned livestock headage
payments schemes 1980 are consistent with the aims
and requirements of Directive 75/268/EEC;

Whereas the EAGGF Committee has been consulted on
the financial aspects;

Whereas the measures provided for in this Decision are
in accordance with the opinion of the Standing
Committee on Agricultural Structure,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

The existing provisions in Ireland for the
implementation of Title II of Directive 75/268/EEC,
notified by the Irish Government on 31 October 1980,
satisfy the conditions for financial contribution by the
Community towards common measures as referred to in
Article 13 of Directive 75/268/EEC.

Article 2

This Decision is addressed to Ireland.

Done at Brussels, 18 December 1980.

For the Commission

Finn GUNDELACH

Vice-President

⁽¹⁾ OJ No L 128, 19. 5. 1975, p. 1.

⁽²⁾ OJ No L 96, 23. 4. 1972, p. 1.

⁽³⁾ OJ No L 302, 4. 11. 1976, p. 19.

⁽⁴⁾ OJ No L 145, 13. 6. 1977, p. 43.

COMMISSION DECISION

of 18 December 1980

on the implementation of the reform of agricultural structures in Belgium pursuant to
Council Directives 72/159/EEC and 75/268/EEC

(Only the French and Dutch texts are authentic)

(80/1342/EEC)

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European
Economic Community,

Having regard to Council Directive 72/159/EEC of
17 April 1972 on the modernization of farms ⁽¹⁾, as last
amended by Directive 80/370/EEC ⁽²⁾, and in particular
Article 18 (3) thereof,

Having regard to Council Directive 75/268/EEC of 28
April 1975 on mountain and hill-farming and farming
in certain less-favoured areas ⁽³⁾, as last amended by
Directive 80/666/EEC ⁽⁴⁾, and in particular Article 13
thereof,

Whereas, on 15 September 1980, the Belgian
Government notified the following regulations and
administrative provisions:

- Ministerial Decree of 25 April 1980 granting an
annual compensatory allowance to farmers in
less-favoured areas for permanent natural
handicaps,
- Royal Decree of 24 July 1980 on the modernization
of farms situated in less-favoured areas,
- Ministerial Decree of 11 June 1980 granting
investment aid to groups to encourage rational
fodder production and pasture grazing;

Whereas, pursuant to Article 18 (3) of Directive
72/159/EEC and Article 13 of Directive 75/268/EEC,
the Commission has to decide whether, having regard to
the abovementioned regulations, the existing Belgian
provisions implementing Directive 72/159 EEC and
Titles III and IV of Directive 75/268/EEC and the
notified Regulation implementing Title II of Directive
75/268/EEC continue to satisfy the conditions for
financial contribution by the Community;

Whereas the said regulations are consistent with the
conditions and aims of Directives 72/159/EEC and
75/268/EEC;

Whereas the EAGGF Committee has been consulted on
the financial aspects;

Whereas the measures provided for in this Decision are
in accordance with the opinion of the Standing
Committee on Agricultural Structure,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

1. The provisions in force in Belgium implementing
Directive 72/159/EEC and Titles III and IV of Directive
75/268/EEC, having regard to the provisions specified
in the preamble, continue to satisfy the conditions for
financial contribution by the Community to the
common measures referred to in Article 15 of Directive
72/159/EEC and Article 13 of Directive 75/268/EEC.

2. The Ministerial Decree of 25 April 1980 granting an
annual compensatory allowance to farmers in
less-favoured areas for permanent natural handicaps
satisfies the conditions for financial contribution by the
Community to the common measures referred to in
Article 13 of Directive 75/268/EEC.

Article 2

This Decision is addressed to the Kingdom of Belgium.

Done at Brussels, 18 December 1980.

For the Commission

Finn GUNDELACH

Vice-President

⁽¹⁾ OJ No L 96, 23. 4. 1972, p. 1.

⁽²⁾ OJ No L 90, 3. 4. 1980, p. 43.

⁽³⁾ OJ No L 128, 19. 5. 1975, p. 1.

⁽⁴⁾ OJ No L 180, 14. 7. 1980, p. 34.

COMMISSION DECISION

of 22 December 1980

establishing that the apparatus described as 'VEGA — peptide synthesizer, model 96' may be imported free of Common Customs Tariff duties

(80/1343/EEC)

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Economic Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC) No 1798/75 of 10 July 1975 on the importation free of Common Customs Tariff duties of educational, scientific and cultural materials⁽¹⁾, as amended by Regulation (EEC) No 1027/79⁽²⁾.

Having regard to Commission Regulation (EEC) No 2784/79 of 12 December 1979 laying down provisions for the implementation of Regulation (EEC) No 1798/75⁽³⁾, and in particular Article 7 thereof,

Whereas, by letter dated 16 June 1980, the United Kingdom Government has requested the Commission to invoke the procedure provided for in Article 7 of Regulation (EEC) No 2784/79 in order to determine whether or not the apparatus described as 'VEGA — peptide synthesizer, model 96', to be used in medical research and in particular in the synthesis of biologically-active peptides and their analogues, should be considered to be a scientific apparatus and, where the reply is in the affirmative, whether apparatus of equivalent scientific value is currently being manufactured in the Community;

Whereas, in accordance with the provisions of Article 7 (5) of Regulation (EEC) No 2784/79, a group of experts composed of representatives of all the Member States met on 4 November 1980, within the framework of the Committee on Duty-Free Arrangements, to examine the matter;

Whereas this examination showed that the apparatus in question is a synthesizer; whereas its objective technical characteristics, such as the rapidity and the precision of the peptides synthesis, and the use to which it is put

make it specially suited to scientific research; whereas, moreover, apparatus of the same kind are principally used for scientific activities; whereas it must therefore be considered to be a scientific apparatus;

Whereas, on the basis of information received from Member States, apparatus of equivalent scientific value capable of use for the same purpose is not currently manufactured in the Community; whereas, therefore, duty-free admission of this apparatus is justified,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

The apparatus described as 'VEGA — peptide synthesizer, model 96', which is the subject of an application by the United Kingdom Government of 16 June 1980, may be imported free of Common Customs Tariff duties.

Article 2

This Decision is addressed to the Member States.

Done at Brussels, 22 December 1980.

For the Commission

Étienne DAVIGNON

Member of the Commission

⁽¹⁾ OJ No L 184, 15. 7. 1975, p. 1.

⁽²⁾ OJ No L 134, 31. 5. 1979, p. 1.

⁽³⁾ OJ No L 318, 13. 12. 1979, p. 32.

COMMISSION DECISION

of 22 December 1980

establishing that the apparatus described as 'PAR — OMA system', consisting of 'intensifier detector head, model 1205 D', 'polychromator UV-VIS-NIR, model 1208', 'display, model 604', 'optical multichannel analyzer console, model 1205 A', 'scintillator for intensifier detector head, model 1205 D/019', may be imported free of Common Customs Tariff duties

(80/1344/EEC)

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Economic Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC) No 1798/75 of 10 July 1975 on the importation free of Common Customs Tariff duties of educational, scientific and cultural materials⁽¹⁾, as amended by Regulation (EEC) No 1027/79⁽²⁾,

Having regard to Commission Regulation (EEC) No 2784/79 of 12 December 1979 laying down provisions for the implementation of Regulation (EEC) No 1798/75⁽³⁾, and in particular Article 7 thereof,

Whereas, by letter dated 5 July 1980, the Italian Government has requested the Commission to invoke the procedure provided for in Article 7 of Regulation (EEC) No 2784/79 in order to determine whether or not the apparatus described as 'PAR — OMA system', consisting of 'intensifier detector head, model 1205 D', 'polychromator UV-VIS-NIR, model 1208', 'display, model 604', 'optical multichannel analyzer console, model 1205 A', 'scintillator for intensifier detector head, model 1205 D/019', to be used in research of the laser application techniques in fluid dynamics and in particular for the measurement of gaseous pollutants in the atmosphere, should be considered to be a scientific apparatus and, where the reply is in the affirmative, whether apparatus of equivalent scientific value is currently being manufactured in the Community;

Whereas, in accordance with the provisions of Article 7 (5) of Regulation (EEC) No 2784/79, a group of experts composed of representatives of all the Member States met on 4 December 1980, within the framework of the Committee on Duty-Free Arrangements, to examine the matter;

Whereas this examination showed that the apparatus in question is a multichannel analyzer;

Whereas its objective technical characteristics, such as the rate of resolution of the optical analysis system, and the use to which it is put make it specially suited to scientific research; whereas, moreover, apparatus of the same kind are principally used for scientific activities; whereas it must therefore be considered to be a scientific apparatus;

Whereas, on the basis of information received from Member States, apparatus of equivalent scientific value capable of use for the same purpose is not currently manufactured in the Community; whereas, therefore, duty-free admission of this apparatus is justified,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

The apparatus described as 'PAR — OMA system', consisting of 'intensifier detector head, model 1205 D', 'polychromator UV-VIS-NIR, model 1208', 'display, model 604', 'optical multichannel analyzer console, model 1205 A', 'scintillator for intensifier detector head, model 1205 D/019', which is the subject of an application by the Italian Government of 5 July 1980, may be imported free of Common Customs Tariff duties.

Article 2

This Decision is addressed to the Member States.

Done at Brussels, 22 December 1980.

For the Commission

Étienne DAVIGNON

Member of the Commission

(1) OJ No L 184, 15. 7. 1975, p. 1.

(2) OJ No L 134, 31. 5. 1979, p. 1.

(3) OJ No L 318, 13. 12. 1979, p. 32.

COMMISSION DECISION

of 22 December 1980

establishing that the apparatus described as 'Beckman — amino acid analyzer, model 121 M' may not be imported free of Common Customs Tariff duties

(80/1345/EEC)

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Economic Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC) No 1798/75 of 10 July 1975 on the importation free of Common Customs Tariff duties of educational, scientific and cultural materials ⁽¹⁾, as amended by Regulation (EEC) No 1027/79 ⁽²⁾,

Having regard to Commission Regulation (EEC) No 2784/79 of 12 December 1979 laying down provisions for the implementation of Regulation (EEC) No 1798/75 ⁽³⁾, and in particular Article 7 thereof,

Whereas, by letter dated 21 June 1980, the Italian Government has requested the Commission to invoke the procedure provided for in Article 7 of Regulation (EEC) No 2784/79 in order to determine whether or not the apparatus described as 'Beckman — amino acid analyzer, model 121 M', to be used in the quantitative research of the amino-acid content in the plasma, should be considered to be a scientific apparatus and, where the reply is in the affirmative, whether apparatus of equivalent scientific value is currently being manufactured in the Community;

Whereas, in accordance with the provisions of Article 7 (5) of Regulation (EEC) No 2784/79, a group of experts composed of representatives of all the Member States met on 4 December 1980, within the framework of the Committee on Duty-Free Arrangements, to examine the matter;

Whereas this examination showed that the apparatus in question is an amino-acid analyzer;

Whereas its objective technical characteristics, such as the sensitivity in the analysis of very small amino-acid quantities, and the use to which it is put make it specially suited to scientific research; whereas, moreover, apparatus of the same kind are principally

used for scientific activities; whereas it must therefore be considered to be a scientific apparatus;

Whereas, however, on the basis of information received from Member States, apparatus of scientific value equivalent to the said apparatus, capable of being used for the same purposes, are currently being manufactured in the Community; whereas this applies, in particular, to the apparatus 'TSM-1' manufactured by Technicon Ireland Ltd, Malahide Road, Swords, Irl-Dublin, to the apparatus 'Liquimat' manufactured by Labotron Messtechnik GmbH, Lausitzer Strasse 8, D-8192 Geretsried 2, and to the apparatus 'LC 6000 E' manufactured by Biotronik Wissenschaftliche Geräte GmbH, Borsigallee 22, D-6000 Frankfurt am Main,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

The apparatus described as 'Beckman — amino acid analyzer, model 121 M', which is the subject of an application by the Italian Government of 21 June 1980, may not be imported free of Common Customs Tariff duties.

Article 2

This Decision is addressed to the Member States.

Done at Brussels, 22 December 1980.

For the Commission

Étienne DAVIGNON

Member of the Commission

⁽¹⁾ OJ No L 184, 15. 7. 1975, p. 1.

⁽²⁾ OJ No L 134, 31. 5. 1979, p. 1.

⁽³⁾ OJ No L 318, 13. 12. 1979, p. 32.

COMMISSION DECISION

of 22 December 1980

establishing that the apparatus described as 'Quanta-Ray — laboratory laser system, model DCR-IA Nd: YAG' may not be imported free of Common Customs Tariff duties

(80/1346/EEC)

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Economic Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC) No 1798/75 of 10 July 1975 on the importation free of Common Customs Tariff duties of educational, scientific and cultural materials ⁽¹⁾, as amended by Regulation (EEC) No 1027/79 ⁽²⁾,

Having regard to Commission Regulation (EEC) No 2784/79 of 12 December 1979 laying down provisions for the implementation of Regulation (EEC) No 1798/75 ⁽³⁾, and in particular Article 7 thereof,

Whereas, by letter dated 17 July 1980, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany has requested the Commission to invoke the procedure provided for in Article 7 of Regulation (EEC) No 2784/79 in order to determine whether or not the apparatus described as 'Quanta-Ray — laboratory laser system, model DCR-IA Nd: YAG', to be used in research of unstable molecules in atmospherically thin layers and in particular of atmospheric trace elements, should be considered to be a scientific apparatus and, where the reply is in the affirmative, whether apparatus of equivalent scientific value is currently being manufactured in the Community;

Whereas, in accordance with the provisions of Article 7 (5) of Regulation (EEC) No 2784/79, a group of experts composed of representatives of all the Member States met on 4 December 1980, within the framework of the Committee on Duty-Free Arrangements, to examine the matter;

Whereas this examination showed that the apparatus in question is a YAG laser;

Whereas its objective technical characteristics, and in particular the physical characteristics of the beam, and the use to which it is put make it specially suited to

scientific research; whereas, moreover, apparatus of the same kind are principally used for scientific activities; whereas it must therefore be considered to be a scientific apparatus;

Whereas, however, on the basis of information received from Member States, apparatus of scientific value equivalent to the said apparatus, capable of being used for the same purposes, are currently being manufactured in the Community; whereas this applies, in particular, to the apparatus 'Laser à YAG 10 Hz' manufactured by Quantel, 17, avenue de l'Atlantique, ZI F-91400 Orsay,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

The apparatus described as 'Quanta-Ray — laboratory laser system, model DCR-IA Nd: YAG', which is the subject of an application by the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany of 17 July 1980, may not be imported free of Common Customs Tariff duties.

Article 2

This Decision is addressed to the Member States.

Done at Brussels, 22 December 1980.

For the Commission

Étienne DAVIGNON

Member of the Commission

⁽¹⁾ OJ No L 184, 15. 7. 1975, p. 1.

⁽²⁾ OJ No L 134, 31. 5. 1979, p. 1.

⁽³⁾ OJ No L 318, 13. 12. 1979, p. 32.

COMMISSION DECISION

of 22 December 1980

establishing that the apparatus described as 'Ortec — microanalysis system, model 6230'
may not be imported free of Common Customs Tariff duties

(80/1347/EEC)

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Economic Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC) No 1798/75 of 10 July 1975 on the importation free of Common Customs Tariff duties of educational, scientific and cultural materials ⁽¹⁾, as amended by Regulation (EEC) No 1027/79 ⁽²⁾,

Having regard to Commission Regulation (EEC) No 2784/79 of 12 December 1979 laying down provisions for the implementation of Regulation (EEC) No 1798/75 ⁽³⁾, and in particular Article 7 thereof,

Whereas, by letter dated 16 July 1980, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany has requested the Commission to invoke the procedure provided for in Article 7 of Regulation (EEC) No 2784/79 in order to determine whether or not the apparatus described as 'Ortec — microanalysis system, model 6230', to be used in research of the chemical composition of samples of solids and surfaces of solids and in particular for the scanning and the analysis of the line spectrum, should be considered to be a scientific apparatus and, where the reply is in the affirmative, whether apparatus of equivalent scientific value is currently being manufactured in the Community;

Whereas, in accordance with the provisions of Article 7 (5) of Regulation (EEC) No 2784/79, a group of experts composed of representatives of all the Member States met on 4 December 1980, within the framework of the Committee on Duty-Free Arrangements, to examine the matter;

Whereas this examination showed that the apparatus in question is an energy-dispersive X-ray micro-analyzer;

Whereas its objective technical characteristics, such as the high resolution level of the microanalysis, and the use to which it is put make it specially suited to

scientific research; whereas, moreover, apparatus of the same kind are principally used for scientific activities; whereas it must therefore be considered to be a scientific apparatus;

Whereas, however, on the basis of information received from Member States, apparatus of scientific value equivalent to the said apparatus, capable of being used for the same purposes, are currently being manufactured in the Community; whereas this applies, in particular, to the apparatus 'ED X 290' manufactured by Link Systems Ltd, Halifax Road, High Wycombe, UK-Buckinghamshire HP12 3SE,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

The apparatus described as 'Ortec — microanalysis system, model 6230', which is the subject of an application by the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany of 16 July 1980, may not be imported free of Common Customs Tariff duties.

Article 2

This Decision is addressed to the Member States.

Done at Brussels, 22 December 1980.

For the Commission

Étienne DAVIGNON

Member of the Commission

⁽¹⁾ OJ No L 184, 15. 7. 1975, p. 1.

⁽²⁾ OJ No L 134, 31. 5. 1979, p. 1.

⁽³⁾ OJ No L 318, 13. 12. 1979, p. 32.

COMMISSION DECISION

of 22 December 1980

establishing that the apparatus described as 'Canberra — multichannel analyzer, model 8600' may not be imported free of Common Customs Tariff duties

(80/1348/EEC)

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Economic Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC) No 1798/75 of 10 July 1975 on the importation free of Common Customs Tariff duties of educational, scientific and cultural materials ⁽¹⁾, as amended by Regulation (EEC) No 1027/79 ⁽²⁾,

Having regard to Commission Regulation (EEC) No 2784/79 of 12 December 1979 laying down provisions for the implementation of Regulation (EEC) No 1798/75 ⁽³⁾, and in particular Article 7 thereof,

Whereas, by letter dated 14 July 1980, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany has requested the Commission to invoke the procedure provided for in Article 7 of Regulation (EEC) No 2784/79 in order to determine whether or not the apparatus described as 'Canberra — multichannel analyzer, model 8600', to be used in instrumental neutron-activation analysis in the field of environmental analysis and monitoring, should be considered as a scientific apparatus and, where the reply is in the affirmative, whether apparatus of equivalent scientific value is currently being manufactured in the Community;

Whereas, in accordance with the provisions of Article 7 (5) of Regulation (EEC) No 2784/79, a group of experts composed of representatives of all the Member States met on 4 December 1980, within the framework of the Committee on Duty-Free Arrangements, to examine the matter;

Whereas this examination showed that the apparatus in question is a multichannel analyzer;

Whereas it does not have the requisite objective characteristics making it specifically suited to scientific research; whereas, moreover, apparatus of the same kind are principally used for non-scientific activities; whereas its use in the case in question could not alone confer upon it the character of a scientific apparatus; whereas it therefore cannot be regarded as a scientific apparatus, whereas the duty-free admission of the apparatus in question is therefore not justified,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

The apparatus described as 'Canberra — multichannel analyzer, model 8600', which is the subject of an application by the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany of 14 July 1980, may not be imported free of Common Customs Tariff duties.

Article 2

This Decision is addressed to the Member States.

Done at Brussels, 22 December 1980.

For the Commission

Étienne DAVIGNON

Member of the Commission

⁽¹⁾ OJ No L 184, 15. 7. 1975, p. 1.

⁽²⁾ OJ No L 134, 31. 5. 1979, p. 1.

⁽³⁾ OJ No L 318, 13. 12. 1979, p. 32.

COMMISSION DECISION

of 22 December 1980

establishing that the apparatus described as 'Tektronix — graphic terminal, model 4006-1'
may not be imported free of Common Customs Tariff duties

(80/1349/EEC)

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Economic Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC) No 1798/75 of 10 July 1975 on the importation free of Common Customs Tariff duties of educational, scientific and cultural materials ⁽¹⁾, as amended by Regulation (EEC) No 1027/79 ⁽²⁾,

Having regard to Commission Regulation (EEC) No 2784/79 of 12 December 1979 laying down provisions for the implementation of Regulation (EEC) No 1798/75 ⁽³⁾, and in particular Article 7 thereof,

Whereas, by letter dated 17 July 1980, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany has requested the Commission to invoke the procedure provided for in Article 7 of Regulation (EEC) No 2784/79 in order to determine whether or not the apparatus described as 'Tektronix — graphic terminal, model 4006-1', to be used for educational purposes and for research and in particular for graphics, should be considered as a scientific apparatus and, where the reply is in the affirmative, whether apparatus of equivalent scientific value is currently being manufactured in the Community;

Whereas, in accordance with the provisions of Article 7 (5) of Regulation (EEC) No 2784/79, a group of experts composed of representatives of all the Member States met on 4 December 1980, within the framework of the Committee on Duty-Free Arrangements, to examine the matter;

Whereas this examination showed that the apparatus in question is a display terminal;

Whereas it does not have the requisite objective characteristics making it specifically suited to scientific research; whereas, moreover, apparatus of the same kind are principally used for non-scientific activities; whereas its use in the case in question could not alone confer upon it the character of a scientific apparatus; whereas it therefore cannot be regarded as a scientific apparatus; whereas the duty-free admission of the apparatus in question is therefore not justified,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

The apparatus described as 'Tektronix — graphic terminal, model 4006-1', which is the subject of an application by the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany of 17 July 1980, may not be imported free of Common Customs Tariff duties.

Article 2

This Decision is addressed to the Member States.

Done at Brussels, 22 December 1980.

For the Commission

Étienne DAVIGNON

Member of the Commission

⁽¹⁾ OJ No L 184, 15. 7. 1975, p. 1.

⁽²⁾ OJ No L 134, 31. 5. 1979, p. 1.

⁽³⁾ OJ No L 318, 13. 12. 1979, p. 32.

COMMISSION DECISION

of 22 December 1980

establishing that the apparatus described as 'Tektronix — controller based programmable digitizer system, model WP 2250' may not be imported free of Common Customs Tariff duties

(80/1350/EEC)

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES.

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Economic Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC) No 1798/75 of 10 July 1975 on the importation free of Common Customs Tariff duties of educational, scientific and cultural materials⁽¹⁾, as amended by Regulation (EEC) No 1027/79⁽²⁾,

Having regard to Commission Regulation (EEC) No 2784/79 of 12 December 1979 laying down provisions for the implementation of Regulation (EEC) No 1798/75⁽³⁾, and in particular Article 7 thereof,

Whereas, by letter dated 16 June 1980, the United Kingdom Government has requested the Commission to invoke the procedure provided for in Article 7 of Regulation (EEC) No 2784/79 in order to determine whether or not the apparatus described as 'Tektronix — controller based programmable digitizer system, model WP 2250', to be used to study two-phase confined vortex flow and to study the transit-time and dispersion in optical fibre waveguides, should be considered as a scientific apparatus and, where the reply is in the affirmative, whether apparatus of equivalent scientific value is currently being manufactured in the Community;

Whereas, in accordance with the provisions of Article 7 (5) of Regulation (EEC) No 2784/79, a group of experts composed of representatives of all the Member States met on 4 November 1980, within the framework of the Committee on Duty-Free Arrangements, to examine the matter;

Whereas this examination showed that the apparatus in question is a signal acquisition system;

Whereas it does not have the requisite objective characteristics making it specifically suited to scientific research; whereas, moreover, apparatus of the same kind are principally used for non-scientific activities; whereas its use in the case in question could not alone confer upon in the character of a scientific apparatus; whereas it therefore cannot be regarded as a scientific apparatus; whereas the duty-free admission of the apparatus in question is therefore not justified,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

The apparatus described as 'Tektronix — controller based programmable digitizer system, model WP 2250', which is the subject of an application by the United Kingdom Government of 16 June 1980, may not be imported free of Common Customs Tariff duties.

Article 2

This Decision is addressed to the Member States.

Done at Brussels, 22 December 1980.

For the Commission

Étienne DAVIGNON

Member of the Commission

⁽¹⁾ OJ No L 184, 15. 7. 1975, p. 1.

⁽²⁾ OJ No L 134, 31. 5. 1979, p. 1.

⁽³⁾ OJ No L 318, 13. 12. 1979, p. 32.

COMMISSION DECISION

of 22 December 1980

establishing that the apparatus described as 'Le Croy — 8-channel updating discriminator, NIM model 623; triple 4-fold logic unit, NIM model 465; quad linear fan-in/fan-out, NIM model 428 F; variable attenuator, model A 101 L' may not be imported free of Common Customs Tariff duties

(80/1351/EEC)

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Economic Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC) No 1798/75 of 10 July 1975 on the importation free of Common Customs Tariff duties of educational, scientific and cultural materials ⁽¹⁾, as amended by Regulation (EEC) No 1027/79 ⁽²⁾,

Having regard to Commission Regulation (EEC) No 2784/79 of 12 December 1979 laying down provisions for the implementation of Regulation (EEC) No 1798/75 ⁽³⁾, and in particular Article 7 thereof,

Whereas, by letter dated 21 June 1980, the Italian Government has requested the Commission to invoke the procedure provided for in Article 7 of Regulation (EEC) No 2784/79 in order to determine whether or not the apparatus described as 'Le Croy — 8-channel updating discriminator, NIM model 623; triple 4-fold logic unit, NIM model 465; quad linear fan-in/fan-out, NIM model 428 F; variable attenuator, model A 101 L', to be used in the dating and hydrogeological field and in particular in measurements of beta activity of samples, should be considered as a scientific apparatus and, where the reply is in the affirmative, whether apparatus of equivalent scientific value is currently being manufactured in the Community;

Whereas, in accordance with the provisions of Article 7 (5) of Regulation (EEC) No 2784/79, a group of experts composed of representatives of all the Member States met on 4 December 1980, within the framework of the Committee on Duty-Free Arrangements, to examine the matter;

Whereas this examination showed that the apparatus in question is a counting chain;

Whereas it does not have the requisite objective characteristics making it specifically suited to scientific research; whereas, moreover, apparatus of the same kind are principally used for non-scientific activities; whereas its use in the case in question could not alone confer upon it the character of a scientific apparatus; whereas it therefore cannot be regarded as a scientific apparatus; whereas the duty-free admission of the apparatus in question is therefore not justified,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

The apparatus described as 'Le Croy — 8-channel updating discriminator, NIM model 623; triple 4-fold logic unit, NIM model 465; quad linear fan-in/fan-out, NIM model 428 F; variable attenuator, model A 101 L', which is the subject of an application by the Italian Government of 21 June 1980, may not be imported free of Common Customs Tariff duties.

Article 2

This Decision is addressed to the Member States.

Done at Brussels, 22 December 1980.

For the Commission

Étienne DAVIGNON

Member of the Commission

⁽¹⁾ OJ No L 184, 15. 7. 1975, p. 1.

⁽²⁾ OJ No L 134, 31. 5. 1979, p. 1.

⁽³⁾ OJ No L 318, 13. 12. 1979, p. 32.

COMMISSION DECISION

of 22 December 1980

establishing that the apparatus described as 'Hamamatsu — videocamera C-1000-02' may not be imported free of Common Customs Tariff duties

(80/1352/EEC)

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Economic Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC) No 1798/75 of 10 July 1975 on the importation free of Common Customs Tariff duties of educational, scientific and cultural materials⁽¹⁾, as amended by Regulation (EEC) No 1027/79⁽²⁾,

Having regard to Commission Regulation (EEC) No 2784/79 of 12 December 1979 laying down provisions for the implementation of Regulation (EEC) No 1798/75⁽³⁾, and in particular Article 7 thereof,

Whereas, by letter dated 15 July 1980, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany has requested the Commission to invoke the procedure provided for in Article 7 of Regulation (EEC) No 2784/79 in order to determine whether or not the apparatus described as 'Hamamatsu — videocamera C-1000-02', to be used for the detection and location of the surface structure of heat-radiating objects and in particular for recording the surface-temperature pattern of forgings, should be considered as a scientific apparatus and, where the reply is in the affirmative, whether apparatus of equivalent scientific value is currently being manufactured in the Community;

Whereas in accordance with the provisions of Article 7 (5) of Regulation (EEC) No 2784/79, a group of experts composed of representatives of all the Member States met on 4 December 1980, within the framework of the Committee on Duty-Free Arrangements, to examine the matter;

Whereas this examination showed that the apparatus in question is a camera;

Whereas it does not have the requisite objective characteristics making it specifically suited to scientific research; whereas, moreover, apparatus of the same kind are principally used for non-scientific activities; whereas its use in the case in question could not alone confer upon it the character of a scientific apparatus; whereas it therefore cannot be regarded as a scientific apparatus; whereas the duty-free admission of the apparatus in question is therefore not justified,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

The apparatus described as 'Hamamatsu — videocamera C-1000-02', which is the subject of an application by the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany of 15 July 1980, may not be imported free of Common Customs Tariff duties.

Article 2

This Decision is addressed to the Member States.

Done at Brussels, 22 December 1980.

For the Commission

Étienne DAVIGNON

Member of the Commission

(1) OJ No L 184, 15. 7. 1975, p. 1.

(2) OJ No L 134, 31. 5. 1979, p. 1.

(3) OJ No L 318, 13. 12. 1979, p. 32.

COMMISSION DECISION

of 22 December 1980

repealing certain Decisions concerning importation free of Common Customs Tariff duties
of certain scientific apparatus

(80/1353/EEC)

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European
Economic Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC) No
1798/75 of 10 July 1975 on the importation free of
Common Customs Tariff duties of educational,
scientific and cultural materials⁽¹⁾, as amended by
Regulation (EEC) No 1027/79⁽²⁾,

Having regard to Commission Regulation (EEC) No
2784/79 of 12 December 1979 laying down provisions
for the implementation of Regulation (EEC) No
1798/75, and in particular Article 7 thereof,

Whereas certain Decisions previously adopted by the
Commission on the basis of Article 7 of the said
Regulation (EEC) No 2784/79 were set out in a
manner which did not clearly indicate the duration of
their application; whereas, even where it is obvious that
these Decisions are effective only for the case in point, it
is necessary, in the interests of safeguarding the legal
position, for them to be explicitly repealed;

Whereas this Decision is in accordance with the opinion
of the group of experts referred to in Article 7,
paragraph 5, of Regulation (EEC) No 2784/79,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

The following Decisions are repealed:

- Commission Decision 76/675/EEC recognizing the
scientific character of the apparatus known as
'sounding rocket super Arcas type 1, Marc
60 A2'⁽⁴⁾,

- Commission Decision 76/786/EEC recognizing the
scientific character of the apparatus known as
'vacuum evaporation apparatus Edwards 306'⁽⁵⁾,

- Commission Decision 76/810/EEC recognizing the
scientific character of an apparatus known as
'monocontrolled Picker valve for vacuum system,
model 01A'⁽⁶⁾,

- Commission Decision 76/882/EEC excluding from
admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties
the scientific apparatus described as 'Tracor 560 gas
chromatograph'⁽⁷⁾,

- Commission Decision 77/168/EEC excluding from
admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties
the scientific apparatus described as 'oceanographic
streamer OSI-2550'⁽⁸⁾,

- Commission Decision 77/284/EEC excluding from
admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties
the scientific apparatus described as 'lock-in
amplifier, PAR model 120'⁽⁹⁾,

- Commission Decision 77/285/EEC excluding from
admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties
the scientific apparatus described as 'lock-in
amplifier, PAR model 122'⁽¹⁰⁾,

- Commission Decision 77/286/EEC allowing
admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of
scientific apparatus for measuring emissions of
pollutants in the atmosphere⁽¹¹⁾,

- Commission Decision 77/373/EEC excluding
admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties
the scientific apparatus described as 'precision

⁽¹⁾ OJ No L 184, 15. 7. 1975, p. 1.⁽²⁾ OJ No L 134, 31. 5. 1979, p. 1.⁽³⁾ OJ No L 318, 13. 12. 1979, p. 32.⁽⁴⁾ OJ No L 231, 21. 8. 1976, p. 8.⁽⁵⁾ OJ No L 273, 6. 10. 1976, p. 23.⁽⁶⁾ OJ No L 285, 16. 10. 1976, p. 39.⁽⁷⁾ OJ No L 325, 24. 11. 1976, p. 22.⁽⁸⁾ OJ No L 52, 24. 2. 1977, p. 39.⁽⁹⁾ OJ No L 95, 19. 4. 1977, p. 25.⁽¹⁰⁾ OJ No L 95, 19. 4. 1977, p. 26.⁽¹¹⁾ OJ No L 95, 19. 4. 1977, p. 27.

lock-in amplifier, PAR model 124 A', with plug-in preamplifier 'PAR model 116' ⁽¹⁾,

accuracy baratron sensor head, type 90 AH-1000' ⁽⁹⁾,

— Commission Decision 77/374/EEC allowing admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of scientific apparatus described as 'lock-in amplifier, PAR model 186 A', with internal oscillator 'PAR model 186 A/99/97', optional ⁽²⁾,

— Commission Decision 77/445/EEC allowing admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of scientific apparatus described as 'Canberra silicon (Li) X-ray detector, model 7383', with alarm system 'model 1785' ⁽¹⁰⁾,

— Commission Decision 77/379/EEC allowing admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'lock-in amplifier PAR model 128 A', with tuned amplifier 'PAR model 128 A/98', optional ⁽³⁾,

— Commission Decision 77/446/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'Packard auto-gamma system, model 5230' ⁽¹¹⁾,

— Commission Decision 77/380/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'two-phase/vector lock-in amplifier PAR model 129 A' ⁽⁴⁾,

— Commission Decision 77/447/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'GE (Li) coaxial detector Ortec, model 8101-1022 V' ⁽¹²⁾,

— Commission Decision 77/381/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'Packard automatic gamma counter', with teletype ⁽⁵⁾,

— Commission Decision 77/462/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'digital oscilloscope NIC-1090 A with plug-in NIC-93 AD' ⁽¹³⁾,

— Commission Decision 77/382/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'Packard 2425 tri-carb spectrometer', with teletype ⁽⁶⁾,

— Commission Decision 77/464/EEC allowing admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as: 'MKS baratron: capacitance manometer head, type 310-10' and 'modular indicator unit, type 170 M — 6B' ⁽¹⁴⁾,

— Commission Decision 77/439/EEC allowing admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of scientific apparatus described as 'AVM transmitters and receiver' ⁽⁷⁾,

— Commission Decision 77/493/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'GE (Li) coaxial detector ORTEC', model 8501-1020-S with Dewar and Ortec preamplifier' ⁽¹⁵⁾,

— Commission Decision 77/443/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'Searle mark III liquid scintillation counter' ⁽⁸⁾,

— Commission Decision 77/507/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the group of scientific apparatus described as 'VHRR/VTPR system' ⁽¹⁶⁾,

— Commission Decision 77/444/EEC allowing admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of scientific apparatus described as 'MKS — high

— Commission Decision 77/508/EEC allowing admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of

⁽¹⁾ OJ No L 140, 8. 6. 1977, p. 27.

⁽²⁾ OJ No L 140, 8. 6. 1977, p. 28.

⁽³⁾ OJ No L 143, 10. 6. 1977, p. 22.

⁽⁴⁾ OJ No L 143, 10. 6. 1977, p. 23.

⁽⁵⁾ OJ No L 143, 10. 6. 1977, p. 24.

⁽⁶⁾ OJ No L 143, 10. 6. 1977, p. 25.

⁽⁷⁾ OJ No L 173, 13. 7. 1977, p. 23.

⁽⁸⁾ OJ No L 175, 15. 7. 1977, p. 25.

⁽⁹⁾ OJ No L 175, 15. 7. 1977, p. 26.

⁽¹⁰⁾ OJ No L 175, 15. 7. 1977, p. 27.

⁽¹¹⁾ OJ No L 175, 15. 7. 1977, p. 28.

⁽¹²⁾ OJ No L 175, 15. 7. 1977, p. 29.

⁽¹³⁾ OJ No L 179, 19. 7. 1977, p. 36.

⁽¹⁴⁾ OJ No L 179, 19. 7. 1977, p. 38.

⁽¹⁵⁾ OJ No L 207, 13. 8. 1977, p. 46.

⁽¹⁶⁾ OJ No L 207, 13. 8. 1977, p. 48.

the scientific apparatus described as 'GR capacitance-measuring assembly, model 1620-Ap' ⁽¹⁾,

— Commission Decision 77/552/EEC allowing admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'spectra physics autolab minigrator, model 23000-110' ⁽²⁾,

— Commission Decision 77/553/EEC allowing admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'Perkin-Elmer calculating integrator model 2023-1030' ⁽³⁾,

— Commission Decision 77/554/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'MTS bi-axial seismic test equipment with electro-hydraulic servo mechanism' ⁽⁴⁾,

— Commission Decision 78/32/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'Packard model 3255 tri-carb spectrometer system' ⁽⁵⁾,

— Commission Decision 78/33/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'Beckman centrifuge, model J-21 C' ⁽⁶⁾,

— Commission Decision 78/34/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'Beckman ultracentrifuge, model L5-50' ⁽⁷⁾,

— Commission Decision 78/35/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'Beckman, amino acid analyzer, type 121 M' ⁽⁸⁾,

— Commission Decision 78/129/EEC allowing admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'Varian spectro system 100 MS' ⁽⁹⁾,

— Commission Decision 78/130/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'Syntex P2₁ diffractometer, incorporating a miniature computer' ⁽¹⁰⁾,

— Commission Decision 78/412/EEC allowing admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'JEOL spectrometer routine/high performance FX 60/FT NMR' ⁽¹¹⁾,

— Commission Decision 78/413/EEC allowing admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'JEOL mass data analysis system, type JMA-0231/0341' ⁽¹²⁾,

— Commission Decision 78/594/EEC completing the Commission Decision of 23 May 1977 excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'two-phase/vector lock-in amplifier, PAR model 129 A' ⁽¹³⁾,

— Commission Decision 78/595/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'JEOL electron microscope, type JEM-100C with scanning transmission attachment' ⁽¹⁴⁾,

— Commission Decision 78/596/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'Packard tri-carb liquid scintillation system, model 2650' ⁽¹⁵⁾,

— Commission Decision 78/597/EEC completing the Commission Decision of 13 May 1977 allowing admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of scientific apparatus described as 'lock-in amplifier, PAR model 186A' with internal oscillator 'PAR model 186A/99/97', optional ⁽¹⁶⁾,

— Commission Decision 78/654/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'JEOL electron scanning microscope JSM 35' ⁽¹⁷⁾,

⁽¹⁾ OJ No L 207, 13. 8. 1977, p. 49.

⁽²⁾ OJ No L 217, 25. 8. 1977, p. 17.

⁽³⁾ OJ No L 217, 25. 8. 1977, p. 18.

⁽⁴⁾ OJ No L 217, 25. 8. 1977, p. 19.

⁽⁵⁾ OJ No L 11, 14. 1. 1978, p. 27.

⁽⁶⁾ OJ No L 11, 14. 1. 1978, p. 28.

⁽⁷⁾ OJ No L 11, 14. 1. 1978, p. 29.

⁽⁸⁾ OJ No L 11, 14. 1. 1978, p. 30.

⁽⁹⁾ OJ No L 43, 14. 2. 1978, p. 9.

⁽¹⁰⁾ OJ No L 43, 14. 2. 1978, p. 11.

⁽¹¹⁾ OJ No L 120, 4. 5. 1978, p. 36.

⁽¹²⁾ OJ No L 120, 4. 5. 1978, p. 37.

⁽¹³⁾ OJ No L 195, 20. 7. 1978, p. 29.

⁽¹⁴⁾ OJ No L 195, 20. 7. 1978, p. 30.

⁽¹⁵⁾ OJ No L 195, 20. 7. 1978, p. 31.

⁽¹⁶⁾ OJ No L 195, 20. 7. 1978, p. 32.

⁽¹⁷⁾ OJ No L 218, 9. 8. 1978, p. 26.

- Commission Decision 78/655/EEC allowing admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'JEOL electron microscope, type JEM-100 CX' ⁽¹⁾,
- Commission Decision 78/656/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'scintillation camera Sigma 410' ⁽²⁾,
- Commission Decision 78/723/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'Finnigan GC-MS system, model 4010' ⁽³⁾,
- Commission Decision 78/837/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'Varian spectrometer EPR, model E-109-E' ⁽⁴⁾,
- Commission Decision 78/838/EEC allowing admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'Du Pont liquid phase chromatograph, model 830' ⁽⁵⁾,
- Commission Decision 78/851/EEC completing the Decision of 23 May 1977 excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'Packard 2425 tri-carb spectrometer', with teletype ⁽⁶⁾,
- Commission Decision 78/852/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'Packard 2450/645 tri-carb spectrometer', with teletype ⁽⁷⁾,
- Commission Decision 78/894/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'Beckman liquid scintillation system, model LS 8000' ⁽⁸⁾,
- Commission Decision 78/895/EEC allowing admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'Baird atomic-system seventy-seven computerized multi-crystal gamma camera' ⁽⁹⁾,
- Commission Decision 78/992/EEC allowing admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of scientific apparatus described as 'Hitachi scanning electron microscope, model S-550' with a transmitted electron image device 'model S-5040' and a specimen cooling device 'model HX-CS 2' ⁽¹⁰⁾,
- Commission Decision 79/20/EEC completing Commission Decision 77/373/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'precision lock-in amplifier, PAR model 124 A', with plug-in preamplifier 'PAR model 116' ⁽¹¹⁾,
- Commission Decision 79/52/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'Hermes drifting buoy' ⁽¹²⁾,
- Commission Decision 79/53/EEC allowing admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'Genrad high performance frequency synthesizer' ⁽¹³⁾,
- Commission Decision 79/207/EEC allowing admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'LAB-80 data acquisition and processing system' ⁽¹⁴⁾,
- Commission Decision 79/208/EEC allowing admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'Tektronix spectrum analyzer, type 491' ⁽¹⁵⁾,
- Commission Decision 79/209/EEC supplementing the Commission Decision of 29 June 1977 excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'digital oscilloscope NIC-1900 A' with plug-in 'NIC-93 AD' ⁽¹⁶⁾,
- Commission Decision 79/255/EEC allowing admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'Hewlett-Packard synthesized signal generator, model 8660 A/C' ⁽¹⁷⁾,

⁽¹⁾ OJ No L 218, 9. 8. 1978, p. 27.

⁽²⁾ OJ No L 218, 9. 8. 1978, p. 28.

⁽³⁾ OJ No L 241, 2. 9. 1978, p. 13.

⁽⁴⁾ OJ No L 288, 14. 10. 1978, p. 51.

⁽⁵⁾ OJ No L 288, 14. 10. 1978, p. 52.

⁽⁶⁾ OJ No L 293, 19. 10. 1978, p. 30.

⁽⁷⁾ OJ No L 293, 19. 10. 1978, p. 31.

⁽⁸⁾ OJ No L 314, 8. 11. 1978, p. 9.

⁽⁹⁾ OJ No L 314, 8. 11. 1978, p. 10.

⁽¹⁰⁾ OJ No L 340, 6. 12. 1978, p. 23.

⁽¹¹⁾ OJ No L 9, 13. 1. 1979, p. 28.

⁽¹²⁾ OJ No L 13, 19. 1. 1979, p. 67.

⁽¹³⁾ OJ No L 13, 19. 1. 1979, p. 68.

⁽¹⁴⁾ OJ No L 44, 21. 2. 1979, p. 22.

⁽¹⁵⁾ OJ No L 44, 21. 2. 1979, p. 23.

⁽¹⁶⁾ OJ No L 44, 21. 2. 1979, p. 24.

⁽¹⁷⁾ OJ No L 57, 8. 3. 1979, p. 38.

- Commission Decision 79/287/EEC supplementing the Decision of 3 January 1978 excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'Syntex P2₁ diffractometer, incorporating a miniature computer' ⁽¹⁾,
- Commission Decision 79/288/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'nuclear-data display and acquisition system, model ND-6610' ⁽²⁾,
- Commission Decision 79/289/EEC allowing the scientific apparatus described as 'Aminco-Bowman spectrophotofluorometer, model J4-8960 E' to be admitted free of Common Customs Tariff duties ⁽³⁾,
- Commission Decision 79/290/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'Beckman liquid scintillation system, model LS 9000' ⁽⁴⁾,
- Commission Decision 79/315/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'PGT intrinsic germanium detector, model IGC 13' with preamplifier 'model RG-11AC' ⁽⁵⁾,
- Commission Decision 79/316/EEC allowing admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'Durrum amino acid analyzer, model D-500' with power converter ⁽⁶⁾,
- Commission Decision 79/317/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'Canberra omega one multichannel analyzer, model 4100' ⁽⁷⁾,
- Commission Decision 79/319/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'EDA automatic magnetic observatory system, model Amos MK II' ⁽⁸⁾,
- Commission Decision 79/320/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'Dupont ultracentrifuge, type OTD-65' ⁽⁹⁾,
- Commission Decision 79/321/EEC allowing admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'Plessey recording current meter, model 9021' ⁽¹⁰⁾,
- Commission Decision 79/340/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'Varian atomic absorption spectrometer, type AA 6D' ⁽¹¹⁾,
- Commission Decision 79/341/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'Canberra multichannel analyzer, model 8100' ⁽¹²⁾,
- Commission Decision 79/367/EEC completing the Commission Decision of 23 May 1977 allowing admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'PAR lock-in amplifier, model 128 A', with tuned amplifier option 'model 128 A/98' ⁽¹³⁾,
- Commission Decision 79/453/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'Varian vacuum system Leed/Auger/RFA, type 981-2001 A2/B1' with accessories ⁽¹⁴⁾,
- Commission Decision 79/475/EEC allowing admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'Aero Vironment — monostatic/bistatic acoustic radar system' ⁽¹⁵⁾,
- Commission Decision 79/481/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'Hewlett-Packard gas chromatograph, model 5840' ⁽¹⁶⁾,
- Commission Decision 79/482/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties

⁽¹⁾ OJ No L 69, 20. 3. 1979, p. 21.

⁽²⁾ OJ No L 69, 20. 3. 1979, p. 22.

⁽³⁾ OJ No L 69, 20. 3. 1979, p. 23.

⁽⁴⁾ OJ No L 69, 20. 3. 1979, p. 24.

⁽⁵⁾ OJ No L 76, 28. 3. 1979, p. 17.

⁽⁶⁾ OJ No L 76, 28. 3. 1979, p. 18.

⁽⁷⁾ OJ No L 76, 28. 3. 1979, p. 19.

⁽⁸⁾ OJ No L 76, 28. 3. 1979, p. 21.

⁽⁹⁾ OJ No L 76, 28. 3. 1979, p. 22.

⁽¹⁰⁾ OJ No L 76, 28. 3. 1979, p. 23.

⁽¹¹⁾ OJ No L 78, 30. 3. 1979, p. 40.

⁽¹²⁾ OJ No L 78, 30. 3. 1979, p. 41.

⁽¹³⁾ OJ No L 85, 5. 4. 1979, p. 48.

⁽¹⁴⁾ OJ No L 116, 11. 5. 1979, p. 27.

⁽¹⁵⁾ OJ No L 121, 17. 5. 1979, p. 31.

⁽¹⁶⁾ OJ No L 123, 19. 5. 1979, p. 22.

- the scientific apparatus described as 'Canberra — Ge(Li) detector, series No 1149', with preamplifier 'model 2001', cryostat 'model 7500' and high voltage power supply 'model 3105' ⁽¹⁾,
- Commission Decision 79/501/EEC allowing admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'Exotech-Erts ground truth radiometer, model 100A' ⁽²⁾,
 - Commission Decision 79/521/EEC allowing admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'Millar-mikro-tip catheter velocity/pressure transducer, model VPC-684 D', with transducer control unit 'model TCB-100' ⁽³⁾,
 - Commission Decision 79/522/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'Ortec — Si(Li) X-ray detector, model 7400-0455-S', with preamplifier 'model 1178' and detector bias supply 'model 459' ⁽⁴⁾,
 - Commission Decision 79/527/EEC allowing admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'Nicolet signal averaging system, model 1070' ⁽⁵⁾,
 - Commission Decision 79/672/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'Ohio nuclear delta scan 50 slow' ⁽⁶⁾,
 - Commission Decision 79/673/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'EDAX — Si(Li) detecting unit, model PSEM 500' and 'EDAX-EXAM-MAX-system F, model 939' ⁽⁷⁾,
 - Commission Decision 79/674/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'Finnegan — gas chromatograph/mass spectrometer with INCOS-data system, model 4023' ⁽⁸⁾,
 - Commission Decision 79/740/EEC allowing the scientific apparatus described as 'ENI — broadband power amplifier, model 3100 L' to be admitted free of Common Customs Tariff duties ⁽⁹⁾,
 - Commission Decision 79/741/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'Beckman — ultracentrifuge, model L5-65' ⁽¹⁰⁾,
 - Commission Decision 79/747/EEC allowing the scientific apparatus described as 'VII-700 sound spectrograph' to be admitted free of Common Customs Tariff duties ⁽¹¹⁾,
 - Commission Decision 79/805/EEC allowing the scientific apparatus described as 'coherent-dye laser, model CR-590-02' to be admitted free of Common Customs Tariff duties ⁽¹²⁾,
 - Commission Decision 79/813/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'PAR lock-in amplifier, model 126', with preamplifier 'model 117' ⁽¹³⁾,
 - Commission Decision 79/814/EEC allowing admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'Hewlett-Packard gas chromatograph/mass spectrometer, model HP 5982 A' ⁽¹⁴⁾,
 - Commission Decision 79/961/EEC allowing admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'Avery percutaneous electrical nerve stimulator' ⁽¹⁵⁾,
 - Commission Decision 79/980/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'Kevex micro-X analytical spectrometer, model 7000/45' ⁽¹⁶⁾,
 - Commission Decision 79/984/EEC allowing admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of

⁽¹⁾ OJ No L 123, 19. 5. 1979, p. 23.

⁽²⁾ OJ No L 130, 29. 5. 1979, p. 28.

⁽³⁾ OJ No L 141, 9. 6. 1979, p. 28.

⁽⁴⁾ OJ No L 141, 9. 6. 1979, p. 29.

⁽⁵⁾ OJ No L 141, 9. 6. 1979, p. 36.

⁽⁶⁾ OJ No L 199, 7. 8. 1979, p. 37.

⁽⁷⁾ OJ No L 199, 7. 8. 1979, p. 38.

⁽⁸⁾ OJ No L 199, 7. 8. 1979, p. 39.

⁽⁹⁾ OJ No L 216, 24. 8. 1979, p. 27.

⁽¹⁰⁾ OJ No L 216, 24. 8. 1979, p. 28.

⁽¹¹⁾ OJ No L 217, 25. 8. 1979, p. 24.

⁽¹²⁾ OJ No L 237, 21. 9. 1979, p. 34.

⁽¹³⁾ OJ No L 242, 25. 9. 1979, p. 12.

⁽¹⁴⁾ OJ No L 242, 25. 9. 1979, p. 13.

⁽¹⁵⁾ OJ No L 289, 16. 11. 1979, p. 49.

⁽¹⁶⁾ OJ No L 295, 22. 11. 1979, p. 21.

the scientific apparatus described as 'Ortec ecatt scanner, model 4830/01' ⁽¹⁾,

- Commission Decision 79/999/EEC allowing admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'WPI — beveling system', consisting of 'dual microprobe, model KS 700', 'beveller, model 1200', 'micropositioner, model 1250', 'volt-ohmmeter, model F-29' ⁽²⁾,
- Commission Decision 79/1037/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'JASCO automatic recording spectro-polarimeter, model J-41 A' ⁽³⁾,
- Commission Decision 79/1038/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'Keithley precision nanovolt d.c. amplifier, model 140' ⁽⁴⁾,
- Commission Decision 79/1041/EEC excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'Packard Prias, sample preparation unit, model PS 105, with Prias, automatic gamma counter, model PGD 105' ⁽⁵⁾,
- Commission Decision 80/56/EEC allowing admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'Apollo ruby/glass laser system, model 26100' ⁽⁶⁾,
- Commission Decision 80/205/EEC establishing that, since 1 November 1977, the conditions required for the admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'Farrand — spectrofluorometer MK 1' have not been fulfilled ⁽⁷⁾,
- Commission Decision 80/206/EEC establishing that the conditions required for the admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'Spectrophonics-speech spectrographic display unit, model SSD 11' are fulfilled ⁽⁸⁾,
- Commission Decision 80/211/EEC establishing that the conditions required for the admission free of

Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'Ortec — Ge(Li) detector system, model 1513—16280', are not fulfilled ⁽⁹⁾,

- Commission Decision 80/212/EEC supplementing the Decision of 13 October 1978 excluding from admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties the scientific apparatus described as 'Beckman — liquid scintillation system, model LS 8000' ⁽¹⁰⁾,
- Commission Decision 80/267/EEC establishing that the conditions required for the admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'Perkin-Elmer atomic absorption spectrophotometer, model 5000' are not fulfilled ⁽¹¹⁾,
- Commission Decision 80/291/EEC establishing that the conditions required for the admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'Quanta-Ray, DCR laboratory laser system' are not fulfilled ⁽¹²⁾,
- Commission Decision 80/292/EEC establishing that since 1 July 1978 the conditions required for the admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'Varian-nuclear magnetic resonance spectrometer, model XL 100' have not been fulfilled ⁽¹³⁾,
- Commission Decision 80/293/EEC establishing that the conditions required for the admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'Canberra — multichannel analyzer, model 3100' are not fulfilled ⁽¹⁴⁾,
- Commission Decision 80/325/EEC establishing that the conditions required for the admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'ETEC autoscan scanning electron microscope' are not fulfilled ⁽¹⁵⁾,
- Commission Decision 80/326/EEC establishing that the conditions required for the admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific

⁽¹⁾ OJ No L 295, 22. 11. 1979, p. 25.

⁽²⁾ OJ No L 301, 28. 11. 1979, p. 30.

⁽³⁾ OJ No L 318, 13. 12. 1979, p. 45.

⁽⁴⁾ OJ No L 318, 13. 12. 1979, p. 46.

⁽⁵⁾ OJ No L 318, 13. 12. 1979, p. 51.

⁽⁶⁾ OJ No L 19, 25. 1. 1980, p. 61.

⁽⁷⁾ OJ No L 46, 21. 2. 1980, p. 34.

⁽⁸⁾ OJ No L 46, 21. 2. 1980, p. 36.

⁽⁹⁾ OJ No L 46, 21. 2. 1980, p. 41.

⁽¹⁰⁾ OJ No L 46, 21. 2. 1980, p. 42.

⁽¹¹⁾ OJ No L 65, 11. 3. 1980, p. 26.

⁽¹²⁾ OJ No L 67, 13. 3. 1980, p. 23.

⁽¹³⁾ OJ No L 67, 13. 3. 1980, p. 24.

⁽¹⁴⁾ OJ No L 67, 13. 3. 1980, p. 25.

⁽¹⁵⁾ OJ No L 73, 19. 3. 1980, p. 16.

- apparatus described as 'ETEC autospec WD/ED X-ray spectrometer' are not fulfilled ⁽¹⁾,
- Commission Decision 80/468/EEC finding that the conditions required for the admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'Ortec — Ge (Li) coaxial detector, model 8101—2021 T', are not fulfilled ⁽²⁾,
 - Commission Decision 80/481/EEC finding that the conditions required for the admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'Perkin-Elmer — spectro-photofluorometer, model MPF-44A' are not fulfilled ⁽³⁾,
 - Commission Decision 80/528/EEC finding that the conditions required for the admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'Varian — automated auger microprobe, model 981-2850' are not fulfilled ⁽⁴⁾,
 - Commission Decision 80/547/EEC finding that the conditions required for the admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'Packard — auto-gamma spectrometer, model 5921 S', with teletype, are not fulfilled ⁽⁵⁾,
 - Commission Decision 80/548/EEC finding that the conditions required for the admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'SMI — atomic analysis system, spectraspan III' are fulfilled ⁽⁶⁾,
 - Commission Decision 80/556/EEC finding that the conditions required for the admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'Varian — NMR spectrometer, model EM-360 L' are not fulfilled ⁽⁷⁾,
 - Commission Decision 80/623/EEC finding that the conditions required for the admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'Varian — NMR spectrometer, model EM 390', with variable temperature accessory 'model EM 3940' are not fulfilled ⁽⁸⁾,
 - Commission Decision 80/624/EEC finding that the conditions required for the admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'EDAX X-ray microanalysis plug-in modules: S-180-154-10 detecting unit, 711 B analyzing unit, 407 C power supply, 603-2 video monitor, 609 B EPIC module, 608 A interface board, 352 linescan ratemeter, Edit Nova 2/4, 8K and TI-733 ASR silent printer' are fulfilled ⁽⁹⁾,
 - Commission Decision 80/717/EEC finding that the conditions required for the admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'TSI laser anemometer system, consisting of: 1090 tracking system, 925 laser and base, 901-2 polarization rotator, 910-2 transmitting optics, 930 receiving optics, 960 photomultiplier system, 980-2 frequency shifter' are fulfilled ⁽¹⁰⁾,
 - Commission Decision 80/772/EEC finding that the conditions required for the admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'Jeol electron microscope, model JEM 200 CX' are not fulfilled ⁽¹¹⁾,
 - Commission Decision 80/773/EEC finding that the conditions required for the admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'Spectra physics liquid chromatograph, model SP 8000' are not fulfilled ⁽¹²⁾,
 - Commission Decision 80/834/EEC finding that the conditions required for the admission of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'Extranuclear — quadrupole mass spectrometer, EMBA II' have not been fulfilled since 1 February 1979 ⁽¹³⁾,
 - Commission Decision 80/835/EEC finding that the conditions required for the admission of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'Neotec — research composition analyzer, model 6350' are fulfilled ⁽¹⁴⁾,
 - Commission Decision 80/837/EEC finding that the conditions required for the admission of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'Canberra — X-ray fluorescence analysis system, consisting of: Si(Li) detector, model

⁽¹⁾ OJ No L 73, 19. 3. 1980, p. 17.

⁽²⁾ OJ No L 116, 7. 5. 1980, p. 58.

⁽³⁾ OJ No L 118, 9. 5. 1980, p. 51.

⁽⁴⁾ OJ No L 131, 28. 5. 1980, p. 15.

⁽⁵⁾ OJ No L 139, 5. 6. 1980, p. 25.

⁽⁶⁾ OJ No L 139, 5. 6. 1980, p. 26.

⁽⁷⁾ OJ No L 145, 11. 6. 1980, p. 31.

⁽⁸⁾ OJ No L 172, 5. 7. 1980, p. 30.

⁽⁹⁾ OJ No L 172, 5. 7. 1980, p. 31.

⁽¹⁰⁾ OJ No L 191, 25. 7. 1980, p. 32.

⁽¹¹⁾ OJ No L 221, 22. 8. 1980, p. 20.

⁽¹²⁾ OJ No L 221, 22. 8. 1980, p. 21.

⁽¹³⁾ OJ No L 244, 16. 9. 1980, p. 24.

⁽¹⁴⁾ OJ No L 244, 16. 9. 1980, p. 25.

7333; Si(Li) preamplifier, model 1713; series 80 multichannel analyzer and accessories' are fulfilled ⁽¹⁾,

— Commission Decision 80/838/EEC finding that the conditions required for the admission of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'JEOL — FT NMR spectrometer, model FX 90 Q' are not fulfilled ⁽²⁾,

— Commission Decision 80/839/EEC finding that the conditions required for the admission of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'Nicolet-Fourier transform infra-red system, model 7199' are not fulfilled ⁽³⁾,

— Commission 80/840/EEC finding that the conditions required for the admission of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'Apollo — tunable CO₂ laser, model 560', with fine tuning mechanism, 'type PZT' are not fulfilled ⁽⁴⁾,

— Commission Decision 80/1062/EEC finding that since 1 January 1979 the conditions required for the admission free of Common Customs Tariff duties of the scientific apparatus described as 'Varian UV/Vis spectrophotometer, model Cary 118 C' have not been fulfilled ⁽⁵⁾.

Article 2

This Decision is addressed to the Member States.

Done at Brussels, 22 December 1980.

For the Commission

Étienne DAVIGNON

Member of the Commission

⁽¹⁾ OJ No L 245, 17. 9. 1980, p. 14.

⁽²⁾ OJ No L 245, 17. 9. 1980, p. 16.

⁽³⁾ OJ No L 245, 17. 9. 1980, p. 17.

⁽⁴⁾ OJ No L 245, 17. 9. 1980, p. 18.

⁽⁵⁾ OJ No L 310, 20. 11. 1980, p. 20.

COMMISSION DECISION

of 23 December 1980

authorizing the French Republic to store outside its territory, during the first six months of 1981, colza and rape seed held by its intervention agency

(Only the French text is authentic)

(80/1354/EEC)

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Economic Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation No 136/66/EEC of 22 September 1966 on the establishment of a common organization of the market in oils and fats ⁽¹⁾, as last amended by Regulation (EEC) No 1917/80 ⁽²⁾,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC) No 1055/77 of 17 May 1977 on the storage and movement of products bought in by an intervention agency ⁽³⁾, and in particular Article 4 thereof,

Whereas Regulation (EEC) No 1055/77 lays down, in Article 1 ⁽¹⁾, that intervention agencies may not store products they have bought in pursuant to Community provisions outside the territory of the Member State within whose jurisdiction they fall unless they have obtained prior authorization; whereas the French Republic has lodged an application to transport and place in storage in other Member States, during the first six months of 1981, a total quantity of 75 000 tonnes of colza held by its intervention agency;

Whereas, in view of the storage capacity in France and the storage facilities available in the Federal Republic of Germany, Belgium, Italy and the Netherlands, this application should be accepted;

Whereas the places of storage must be chosen on the basis of the lowest transport cost;

Whereas it is necessary that France informs the Commission in advance of the places of storage selected; whereas the intervention agency of the Member State on whose territory storage is carried out should also be informed of the location and condition of the stock of products held by the French intervention agency;

Whereas the measures provided for in this Decision are in accordance with the opinion of the Management Committee for Oils and Fats,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

1. The French Republic is hereby authorized to transport and place in storage, from 1 January 1981 to 30 June 1981 in the Federal Republic of Germany, Belgium, Italy and the Netherlands, up to 75 000 tonnes of colza and rape seed which, as from the date of entry into force of this Decision, are accepted for intervention by the French intervention agency but which cannot be stored in France.

2. Places of storage shall be selected so that the cost of transporting the goods from the place of intervention to the place of storage in question are as low as possible.

Places of storage shall be selected in agreement with the intervention agency of the Member State on whose territory the selected places of storage are situated.

3. The French Republic shall inform the Commission in advance of the places of storage selected for the seeds.

4. The French intervention agency shall inform the intervention agency of the Member State on whose territory storage is to be carried out of the location and

⁽¹⁾ OJ No 172, 30. 9. 1966, p. 3025/66.

⁽²⁾ OJ No L 186, 19. 7. 1980, p. 1.

⁽³⁾ OJ No L 128, 24. 5. 1977, p. 1.

condition of stocks on the said territory and of any movement.

Done at Brussels, 23 December 1980.

Article 2

This Decision is addressed to the French Republic.

For the Commission

Finn GUNDELACH

Vice-President

COMMISSION DECISION

of 29 December 1980

opening a standing invitation to tender for the export of 500 000 tonnes of common wheat
of bread-making quality held by the by the German intervention agency

(Only the German text is authentic)

(80/1355/EEC)

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European
Economic Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC)
No 2727/75 of 29 October 1975 on the common
organization of the market in cereals ⁽¹⁾, as last
amended by Regulation (EEC) No 1870/80 ⁽²⁾, and in
particular Article 8 (4) thereof,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC)
No 1146/76 of 17 May 1976 ⁽³⁾ on particular and
special intervention measures for cereals,

Whereas, in a communication dated 27 November
1980, the Federal Republic of Germany advised the
Commission of its wish to put up for sale for export to
non-member countries 500 000 tonnes of common
wheat of bread-making quality which its intervention
agency holds in store;

Whereas, in view of the intervention stock levels within
the Community, the proposed operation seems
particularly appropriate;

Whereas the 500 000 tonnes of common wheat to be
put up for sale by tender are to be exported from the
points of departure for which tenders are to be made by
tenderers; whereas a certain proportion of the said
quantity is stored in other places; whereas the German
intervention agency, in order to place all participants in
the invitation to tender on the same footing, must
undertake the sale at uniform prices, and for that
purpose, it should take over the cost of transport from
the place of storage to the points of departure
determined;

Whereas, under Article 3 (2) of Commission Regulation
(EEC) No 2042/75 of 25 July 1975 ⁽⁴⁾, it may be

provided that a tender shall only be valid if it is
accompanied by an application for an export licence
and by an application for advance fixing of the refund
for the destination in question; whereas the intention of
this provision is to facilitate assessment of the tender;

Whereas, in order to ensure that the tenders are made in
good faith and to guarantee the smooth running of the
proposed operation in existing market conditions, it is
necessary to provide for a special security; whereas, for
the same reasons, the security must be released only on
provision of proof of the arrival of the goods at their
destination;

Whereas the measures provided for in this Decision are
in accordance with the opinion of the Management
Committee for Cereals,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

The German intervention agency may, on the following
conditions, open a standing invitation to tender for the
export of common wheat of bread-making quality held
by it.

Article 2

1. The invitation to tender shall cover a maximum of
500 000 tonnes of common wheat of bread-making
quality.

2. The regions in which the 500 000 tonnes of
common wheat of bread-making quality are stored are
stated in the Annex.

Article 3

1. The places for which the minimum sale price is to
be fixed are as follows: Hamburg, Bremen, Brake,

⁽¹⁾ OJ No L 281, 1. 11. 1975, p. 1.

⁽²⁾ OJ No L 184, 17. 7. 1980, p. 1.

⁽³⁾ OJ No L 130, 19. 5. 1976, p. 1.

⁽⁴⁾ OJ No L 213, 11. 8. 1975, p. 5.

Nordenham, Emden, Rotterdam, Husum, Brunsbüttel, Rendsburg, Flensburg, Kiel, Lübeck, Braunschweig, Fallersleben, Regensburg and Passau.

2. Tenders must be drawn up by reference to the standard quality defined in Council Regulation (EEC) No 2731/75 ⁽¹⁾; when the quality of the cereal differs from the standard quality, the tender accepted shall be adjusted by applying the increases or reductions laid down in Article 5 of Commission Regulation (EEC) No 1629/77 ⁽²⁾. Tenders must relate to a quantity of at least 1 000 tonnes.

3. Tenders must be made for one or more ports or places of departure. The tenderer shall specify the port or ports or places of departure in respect of which his tender is put forward.

Tenders shall be for common wheat of bread-making quality:

— in port silos from which direct loading onto barge or sea-going vessel is possible, or

— delivered not unloaded to the place of embarkation in the port or place of departure.

4. Tenders shall be valid only if they are accompanied by:

— an application for export licence lodged by the tenderer, with an application for advance fixing of the export refund or levy, for the destination in question.

For the purpose of this Decision, all countries for which the same rate of export refund or levy is fixed shall be considered as one destination,

— a written undertaking by the tenderer, countersigned by the financial institution, to provide a special security of 40 ECU per tonne not later than two working days following the date of receipt of the information that this tender has been accepted.

5. The security shall be released only in respect of quantities for which the tenderer and exporter proves either:

(a) that the customs formalities for release into free circulation have been completed in the non-member country or countries for which the export refund or levy has been fixed in advance. The said proof shall be provided in accordance with the provisions of

Article 20 (3) to (6) of Commission Regulation (EEC) No 2730/79 ⁽³⁾; or

(b) that the product has become unsuitable for human or animal consumption.

6. For common wheat of bread-making quality which is not located at the places referred to in the first and second indents of the second sub-paragraph or paragraph 3, the most favourable transport costs between the place of storage and the place of embarkation in the ports or places of departure referred to in paragraph 1 and attainable at the lowest cost shall be reimbursed to the exporter and tenderer by the German intervention agency.

7. If the cereals are removed later than the month in which the contract was awarded, the price to be paid by the contractor shall be increased monthly by an amount not less than the monthly increase in the reference price.

Article 4

1. Notwithstanding the provisions of Article 21 (1) of Commission Regulation (EEC) No 3183/80 ⁽⁴⁾, export licences issued under this standing invitation to tender shall be considered, for the purposes of determining their period of validity, as having been issued on the day the tender was submitted.

2. The said licences shall be valid from their date of issue within the meaning of paragraph 1 until the end of the fourth month following.

Article 5

1. The time limit for submission of tenders under the first partial invitation to tender shall expire on 21 January 1981 at 1 p.m. (Brussels time).

The German intervention agency shall specify in the notice of invitation to tender the time limit for submission of tenders under the subsequent partial invitation to tender.

2. On expiry of the time limit laid down for submission of tenders, the intervention agency shall forward to the Commission an anonymous list, stating the price for each tender, expressed in ECU per tonne, and the quantity.

The Commission shall, in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 26 of Regulation (EEC)

⁽¹⁾ OJ No L 281, 1. 11. 1975, p. 22.

⁽²⁾ OJ No L 181, 21. 7. 1977, p. 26.

⁽³⁾ OJ No L 317, 12. 12. 1979, p. 1.

⁽⁴⁾ OJ No L 338, 13. 12. 1980, p. 1.

No 2727/75, fix the minimum sale price on the basis of the said tenders, or shall decide not to make an award.

Article 7

This Decision is addressed to the Federal Republic of Germany.

3. The time limit for submission of tenders under the last partial invitation to tender shall expire on 24 June 1981 at 1 p.m. (Brussels time).

Done at Brussels, 29 December 1980.

Article 6

The German intervention agency lays down where necessary additional provisions and conditions consistent with the provisions of this Decision.

For the Commission

Finn GUNDELACH

Vice-President

ANNEX

<i>Place of storage</i>	<i>Quantity (tonnes)</i>
Schleswig-Holstein	42 000
Hamburg	10 000
Bremen	30 000
Niedersachsen	110 000
Nordrhein-Westfalen	120 000
Hessen	37 000
Saarland	5 000
Rheinland-Pfalz	44 500
Baden-Württemberg	22 500
Bayern	79 000

COMMISSION DECISION

of 29 December 1980

opening a standing invitation to tender for the export of 150 000 tonnes of barley held by
the United Kingdom intervention agency

(Only the English text is authentic)

(80/1356/EEC)

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Economic Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC) No 2727/75 of 29 October 1975 on the common organization of the market in cereals ⁽¹⁾, as last amended by Regulation (EEC) No 1870/80 ⁽²⁾, and in particular Article 7 (5) thereof,

Whereas Article 3 of Council Regulation (EEC) No 2738/78 of 29 October 1975 laying down general rules for intervention on the market in cereals ⁽³⁾ provides that cereals held by the intervention agencies shall be disposed of by invitation to tender;

Whereas Commission Regulation (EEC) No 376/70 of 27 February 1970 ⁽⁴⁾, lays down the procedure and conditions for the disposal of cereals held by intervention agencies;

Whereas the United Kingdom, in a communication dated 27 November 1980, advised the Commission of its wish to put up for sale for export to non-member countries 150 000 tonnes of barley which its intervention agency holds in store;

Whereas, in view of the intervention stock levels within the Community, the proposed operation seems particularly appropriate;

Whereas the 150 000 tonnes of barley to be put up for sale by tender are to be exported from the points of departure for which tenders are to be made by tenderers; whereas a certain proportion of the said quantity is stored in other places; whereas the United Kingdom intervention agency, in order to place all participants in the invitation to tender on the same footing,

must undertake the sale at uniform prices, and for that purpose, it should take over the cost of transport from the place of storage to the points of departure determined;

Whereas under Article 5 (5) of Commission Regulation (EEC) No 376/70 it may be provided that a tender shall only be valid if it is accompanied by an application for an export licence and by an application for advance fixing of the refund for the destination in question; whereas the intention of this provision is to facilitate assessment of the tender;

Whereas, in order to ensure that the tenders are made in good faith and to guarantee the smooth running of the proposed operation in existing market conditions, it is necessary to provide for a special security; whereas, for the same reasons, the security must be released only on provision of proof of the arrival of the goods at their destination;

Whereas the measures provided for in this Decision are in accordance with the opinion of the Management Committee for Cereals,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

The United Kingdom intervention agency may, on the following conditions, open a standing invitation to tender for the export of barley held by it.

Article 2

1. The invitation to tender shall cover a maximum of 150 000 tonnes of barley.

2. The regions in which the 150 000 tonnes of barley are stored are stated in the Annex.

⁽¹⁾ OJ No L 281, 1. 11. 1975, p. 1.

⁽²⁾ OJ No L 184, 17. 7. 1980, p. 1.

⁽³⁾ OJ No L 281, 1. 11. 1975, p. 49.

⁽⁴⁾ OJ No L 47, 28. 2. 1970, p. 49.

Article 3

1. The places for which the minimum sale price is to be fixed are as follows: Hull, Kings Lynn, Great Yarmouth, Tilbury, Shoreham, Sharpness, Avonmouth, Blyth, Leith, Peterhead, Fraserburgh and Lowestoft.

2. Tenders must be drawn up by reference to the standard quality defined in Council Regulation (EEC) No 2731/75 ⁽¹⁾. They must relate to a quantity of at least 500 tonnes.

3. Tenders must be made for one or more ports or places of departure. The tenderer shall specify the port or ports or places of departure in respect of which his tender is put forward.

Tenders shall be for barley:

- in port silos from which direct loading onto barge or sea-going vessel is possible, or
- delivered not unloaded to the place of embarkation in the port or place of departure.

4. Tenders shall be valid only if they are accompanied by:

- an application for export licence lodged by the tenderer, with an application for advance fixing of the export refund or levy, for the destination in question. For the purpose of this Decision, all countries for which the same rate of export refund or levy is fixed shall be considered as one destination,
- a written undertaking by the tenderer, countersigned by the financial institution, to provide a special security of 40 ECU per tonne not later than two working days following the date of receipt of the information that this tender has been accepted.

5. The security shall be released only in respect of quantities for which the tenderer and exporter proves either:

- (a) that the customs formalities for release into free circulation have been completed in the non-member country or countries for which the export refund or levy has been fixed in advance. The said proof shall be provided in accordance with the provisions of Article 20 (3) to (6) of Commission Regulation (EEC) No 2730/79 ⁽²⁾; or
- (b) that the product has become unsuitable for human or animal consumption.

6. For barley which is not located at the places referred to in the first and second indents of the second subparagraph or paragraph 3, the most favourable transport costs between the place of storage and the place of embarkation in the ports or places of departure referred to in paragraph 1 and attainable at the lowest transport cost shall be reimbursed to the exporter and tenderer by the United Kingdom intervention agency.

Article 4

1. Notwithstanding the provisions of Article 21 (1) of Commission Regulation (EEC) No 3183/80 ⁽³⁾, export licenses issued under this standing invitation to tender shall be considered, for the purposes of determining their period of validity, as having been issued on the day the tender was submitted.

2. The said licences shall be valid from their date of issue within the meaning of paragraph 1 until the end of the fourth month following.

Article 5

The time limit for submission of tenders under the first partial invitation to tender shall expire on 7 January 1981 at 1 p.m. (Brussels time).

The United Kingdom intervention agency shall specify in the notice of invitation to tender the time limit for submission of tenders under the subsequent partial invitations to tender.

Article 6

The United Kingdom intervention agency lays down where necessary additional provisions and conditions consistent with the provisions of this Decision.

Article 7

This Decision is addressed to the United Kingdom.

Done at Brussels, 29 December 1980.

For the Commission

Finn GUNDELACH

Vice-President

⁽¹⁾ OJ No L 281, 1. 11. 1975, p. 22.

⁽²⁾ OJ No L 317, 12. 12. 1979, p. 1.

⁽³⁾ OJ No L 338, 13. 12. 1980, p. 1.

ANNEX

<i>Place of storage</i>	<i>Quantity (tonnes)</i>
Yorkshire/Lancashire	20 000
West Midlands	20 000
East Midlands	30 000
East Anglia	20 000
South-West	20 000
South-East	15 000
Scotland	25 000

COMMISSION DECISION

of 29 December 1980

opening a standing invitation to tender for the export to Poland of 175 000 tonnes of barley
held by the United Kingdom intervention agency

(Only the English text is authentic)

(80/1357/EEC)

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Economic Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC) No 2727/75 of 29 October 1975 on the common organization of the market in cereals ⁽¹⁾, as last amended by Regulation (EEC) No 1870/80 ⁽²⁾, and in particular Article 7 (5) thereof,

Whereas Article 3 of Council Regulation (EEC) No 2738/78 of 29 October 1975 laying down general rules for intervention on the market in cereals ⁽³⁾ provides that cereals held by the intervention agencies shall be disposed of by invitation to tender;

Whereas Commission Regulation (EEC) No 376/70 of 27 February 1970 ⁽⁴⁾ lays down the procedure and conditions for the disposal of cereals held by intervention agencies;

Whereas the United Kingdom, in a communication dated 18 December 1980, advised the Commission of its wish to put up for sale for export to non-member countries 175 000 tonnes of barley which its intervention agency holds in store;

Whereas, in view of the intervention stock levels within the Community, the proposed operation seems particularly appropriate;

Whereas the European Council, at its meeting on 1 and 2 December 1980 in Luxembourg, agreed that steps should be taken to facilitate the purchase by Poland of certain agricultural products of the Community; whereas, in view of the available supplies of Community cereals, it is possible to put at the disposal of Poland some 175 000 tonnes of barley;

Whereas the 175 000 tonnes of barley to be put up for sale by tender are to be exported from the points of departure for which tenders are to be made by tenderers; whereas a certain proportion of the said quantity is stored in other places; whereas the United Kingdom intervention agency, in order to place all participants in the invitation to tender on the same footing, must undertake the sale at uniform prices, and for that purpose, it should take over the costs of transport from the place of storage to the points of departure determined;

Whereas, under Article 5 (5) of Commission Regulation (EEC) No 376/70, it may be provided that a tender shall only be valid if it is accompanied by an application for an export licence and by an application for advance fixing of the refund for the destination in question; whereas the intention of this provision is to facilitate assessment of the tender;

Whereas, in order to ensure that the tenders are made in good faith and to guarantee the smooth running of the proposed operation in existing market conditions, it is necessary to provide for a special security; whereas, for the same reasons, the security must be released only on provision of proof of the arrival of the goods at their destination;

Whereas the measures provided for in this Decision are in accordance with the opinion of the Management Committee for Cereals,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

The United Kingdom intervention agency may, on the following conditions, open a standing invitation to tender for the export of barley held by it.

⁽¹⁾ OJ No L 281, 1. 11. 1975, p. 1.

⁽²⁾ OJ No L 184, 17. 7. 1980, p. 1.

⁽³⁾ OJ No L 281, 1. 11. 1975, p. 49.

⁽⁴⁾ OJ No L 47, 28. 1. 1970, p. 49.

Article 2

1. The invitation to tender shall cover a maximum of 175 000 tonnes of barley.
2. The regions in which the 175 000 tonnes of barley are stored are stated in the Annex.

Article 3

1. The places for which the minimum sale price is to be fixed are as follows:

Hull, King's Lynn, Great Yarmouth, Tilbury, Shoreham, Sharpness, Avonmouth, Blyth, Leith, Peterhead, Fraserburgh, Lowestoft, Montrose, Berwick, Gunness, Colchester, Ipswich, Barry, Queenborough, Poole, Southampton, Exeter, Sheerness, Boston, Grimsby, Immingham, Goole and Birkenhead.

2. Tenders must be drawn up by reference to the standard quality defined in Council Regulation (EEC) No 2731/75 ⁽¹⁾. They must relate to a quantity of at least 500 tonnes.

3. Tenders must be made for one or more ports or places of departure. The tenderer shall specify the port or ports or places of departure in respect of which his tender is put forward.

Tenders shall be for barley:

- in port silos from which direct loading onto barge or sea-going vessel is possible, or
- delivered not unloaded to the place of embarkation in the port or place of departure.

4. Tenders shall be valid only if they are accompanied by:

- an application for export licence lodged by the tenderer, with an application for advance fixing of the export refund or levy, for the destination in question,
- a written undertaking by the tenderer, countersigned by the financial institution, to provide a special security of 50 ECU per tonne not later than two working days following the date of receipt of the information that this tender has been accepted,
- proof of an undertaking to purchase by the Polish authorities concerning the invitation to tender in question, for a specific quantity.

5. The security shall be released only in respect of quantities for which the tenderer and exporter proves either:

(a) that the customs formalities for release into free circulation have been completed in Poland. The said proof shall be provided in accordance with the provision of Article 20 (3) to (6) of Commission Regulation (EEC) No 2730/79 ⁽²⁾; or

(b) that the product has become unsuitable for human or animal consumption.

6. For barley which is not located at the places referred to in the first and second indents of the second sub-paragraph or paragraph 3, the most favourable transport costs between the place of storage and the place of embarkation in the ports or places of departure referred to in paragraph 1 and attainable at the lowest transport cost shall be reimbursed to the exporter and tenderer by the United Kingdom intervention agency.

Article 4

1. Notwithstanding the provisions of Article 21 (1) of Commission Regulation (EEC) No 3183/80 ⁽³⁾, export licences issued under this standing invitation to tender shall be considered, for the purpose of determining their period of validity, as having been issued on the day the tender was submitted.

2. The said licences shall be valid from their date of issue within the meaning of paragraph 1 until the end of the second month following.

Article 5

1. The time limit for submission of tenders under the first partial invitation to tender shall expire on 14 January 1981 at 1 p.m. (Brussels time).

The United Kingdom intervention agency shall specify in the notice of invitation to tender the time limit for submission of tenders under the subsequent partial invitations to tender.

2. The time limit for submission of tenders under the last partial invitation to tender shall expire on 28 February 1981 at 1 p.m. (Brussels time).

⁽¹⁾ OJ No L 281, 1. 11. 1975, p. 22.

⁽²⁾ OJ No L 317, 12. 12. 1979, p. 1.

⁽³⁾ OJ No L 338, 13. 12. 1980, p. 1.

Article 6

Done at Brussels, 29 December 1980.

The United Kingdom intervention agency lays down, where necessary, additional provisions and conditions consistent with the provisions of this Decision.

Article 7

This Decision is addressed to the United Kingdom.

For the Commission

Finn GUNDELACH

Vice-President

ANNEX

<i>Place of storage</i>	<i>Quantity (tonnes)</i>
Yorkshire/Lancashire	15 000
West Midlands	30 000
East Midlands	70 000
East Anglia	35 000
Scotland	25 000
	175 000

COMMISSION DECISION

of 29 December 1980

opening a standing invitation to tender for the export to Poland of 25 000 tonnes of rye held
by the Danish intervention agency

(Only the Danish text is authentic)

(80/1358/EEC)

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Economic Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC) No 2727/75 of 29 October 1975 on the common organization of the market in cereals⁽¹⁾, as last amended by Regulation (EEC) No 1870/80⁽²⁾, and in particular Article 7 (5) thereof,

Whereas Article 3 of Council Regulation (EEC) No 2738/78 of 29 October 1975 laying down general rules for intervention on the market in cereals⁽³⁾ provides that cereals held by the intervention agencies shall be disposed of by invitation to tender;

Whereas Commission Regulation (EEC) No 376/70 of 27 February 1970⁽⁴⁾ lays down the procedure and conditions for the disposal of cereals held by intervention agencies;

Whereas Denmark, in a communication dated 18 December 1980, advised the Commission of its wish to put up for sale for export to non-member countries 25 000 tonnes of rye which its intervention agency holds in store;

Whereas, in view of the intervention stock levels within the Community, the proposed operation seems particularly appropriate;

Whereas the European Council, at its meeting on 1 and 2 December 1980 in Luxembourg, agreed that steps should be taken to facilitate the purchase by Poland of certain agricultural products of the Community; whereas, in view of the available supplies of Community cereals, it is possible to put at the disposal of Poland some 25 000 tonnes of rye;

Whereas the 25 000 tonnes of rye to be put up for sale by tender are to be exported from the points of departure for which tenders are to be made by tenderers; whereas a certain proportion of the said quantity is stored in other places; whereas the Danish intervention agency, in order to place all participants in the invitation to tender on the same footing, must undertake the sale at uniform prices, and for that purpose, it should take over the costs of transport from the place of storage to the points of departure determined;

Whereas, under Article 5 (5) of Commission Regulation (EEC) No 376/70, it may be provided that a tender shall only be valid if it is accompanied by an application for an export licence and by an application for advance fixing of the refund for the destination in question; whereas the intention of this provision is to facilitate assessment of the tender;

Whereas, in order to ensure that the tenders are made in good faith and to guarantee the smooth running of the proposed operation in existing market conditions, it is necessary to provide for a special security; whereas, for the same reasons, the security must be released only on provision of proof of the arrival of the goods at their destination;

Whereas the measures provided for in this Decision are in accordance with the opinion of the Management Committee for Cereals,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

The Danish intervention agency may, on the following conditions, open a standing invitation to tender for the export of rye held by it.

(¹) OJ No L 281, 1. 11. 1975, p. 1.

(²) OJ No L 184, 17. 7. 1980, p. 1.

(³) OJ No L 281, 1. 11. 1975, p. 49.

(⁴) OJ No L 47, 28. 2. 1970, p. 49.

Article 2

1. The invitation to tender shall cover a maximum of 25 000 tonnes of rye.

2. The regions in which the 25 000 tonnes of rye are stored are stated in the Annex.

Article 3

1. The places for which the minimum sale price is to be fixed are as follows: Odense, Ålbora, Århus, Åbenrå.

2. Tenders must be drawn up by reference to the standard quality defined in Council Regulation (EEC) No 2731/75 ⁽¹⁾. They must relate to a quantity of at least 500 tonnes.

3. Tenders must be made for one or more ports or places of departure. The tenderer shall specify the port or ports or places of departure in respect of which his tender is put forward.

Tenders shall be for rye:

— in port silos from which direct loading onto barge or sea-going vessel is possible, or

— delivered not unloaded to the place of embarkation in the port or place of departure.

4. Tenders shall be valid only if they are accompanied by:

— an application for export licence lodged by the tenderer, with an application for advance fixing of the export refund or levy, for the destination in question,

— a written undertaking by the tenderer, countersigned by the financial institution, to provide a special security of 60 ECU per tonne not later than two working days following the date of receipt of the information that this tender has been accepted,

— proof of an undertaking to purchase by the Polish authorities concerning the invitation to tender in question, for a specific quantity.

5. The security shall be released only in respect of quantities for which the tenderer and exporter proves either:

(a) that the customs formalities for release into free circulation have been completed in Poland. The said proof shall be provided in accordance with the provision of Article 20 (3) to (6) of Commission Regulation (EEC) No 2730/79 ⁽²⁾; or

(b) that the product has become unsuitable for human or animal consumption.

6. For rye which is not located at the places referred to in the first and second indents of the second sub-paragraph or paragraph 3, the most favourable transport costs between the place of storage and the place of embarkation in the ports or places of departure referred to in paragraph 1 and attainable at the lowest transport cost shall be reimbursed to the exporter and tenderer by the Danish intervention agency.

Article 4

1. Notwithstanding the provisions of Article 21 (1) of Commission Regulation (EEC) No 3183/80 ⁽³⁾, export licences issued under this standing invitation to tender shall be considered, for the purpose of determining their period of validity, as having been issued on the day the tender was submitted.

2. The said licences shall be valid from their date of issue within the meaning of paragraph 1 until the end of the second month following.

Article 5

1. The time limit for submission of tenders under the first partial invitation to tender shall expire on 14 January 1981 at 1 p.m. (Brussels time).

The Danish intervention agency shall specify in the notice of invitation to tender the time limit for submission of tenders under the subsequent partial invitations to tender.

2. The time limit for submission of tenders under the last partial invitation to tender shall expire on 28 February 1981 at 1 p.m. (Brussels time).

⁽¹⁾ OJ No L 281, 1. 11. 1975, p. 22.

⁽²⁾ OJ No L 317, 12. 12. 1979, p. 1.

⁽³⁾ OJ No L 338, 13. 12. 1980, p. 1.

Article 6

Done at Brussels, 29 December 1980.

The Denmark intervention agency lays down where necessary additional provisions and conditions consistent with the provisions of this Decision.

Article 7

This Decision is addressed to the Kingdom of Denmark.

For the Commission

Finn GUNDELACH

Vice-President

ANNEX

<i>Place of storage</i>	<i>Quantity (tonnes)</i>
Gamby	2 833
Sødersø	1 290
Otterup	5 784
Hjørring	864
Hammel	632
Varmark	2 002
Bjert	2 226
Bylderup	298
Sønderborg	9 044
	24 973

COMMISSION DECISION

of 30 December 1980

authorizing the Federal Republic of Germany to restrict the marketing of seed of certain varieties of agricultural plant species

(Only the German text is authentic)

(80/1359/EEC)

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Economic Community,

Having regard to Council Directive 70/457/EEC of 29 September 1970 on the common catalogue of varieties of agricultural plant species⁽¹⁾, as last amended by Council Directive 79/967/EEC of 12 November 1979⁽²⁾, and in particular Article 15 (2), (3) and (7) thereof,

Having regard to the application lodged by the Federal Republic of Germany,

Whereas under Article 15 (1) of the said Directive seed or propagating material of varieties of agricultural plant species which have been officially accepted during 1978 in at least one of the Member States and which also meet the conditions laid down in the said Directive are, with effect from 31 December 1980, no longer subject to any marketing restrictions relating to variety in the Community;

Whereas, however, Article 15 (2) of the said Directive provides that a Member State may be authorized upon application to prohibit the marketing of seed and propagating material of certain varieties;

Whereas the Federal Republic of Germany has applied for such authorization for a certain number of varieties of different species;

Whereas the varieties concerned of oats and of maize and, in respect of the value for cultivation and use, the

variety Kawerita (sugar beet) have not been the subject of official growing trials in the Federal Republic of Germany in view of the German application;

Whereas the said variety of sugar beet has been the subject in the Federal Republic of Germany of an application for official acceptance in so far as their seed is to be marketed in another country (Article 4 (2) (b) thereof); whereas therefore even the applicant has not claimed that this variety has any satisfactory value for cultivation or use in the Federal Republic of Germany; whereas therefore this variety can be treated as not producing results in the Federal Republic of Germany, which, with respect to their qualities taken as a whole as regards their value for cultivation or use, correspond to those obtained from a comparable variety accepted there (first subparagraph of Article 15 (3) (c) thereof);

Whereas the variety of oats concerned is of the winter type; whereas the varieties of maize concerned have an FAO maturity class index over 350; whereas it is well known that the varieties of winter oats and the varieties of maize which have an FAO maturity class index over 350 are at present not yet suitable for cultivation in view of all the kinds of utilization in the Federal Republic of Germany (second subparagraph of Article 15 (3) (c) thereof);

Whereas therefore the application of the Federal Republic of Germany in respect of all these varieties should be granted in full; whereas account should nevertheless be taken of the fact that the variety Kawerita is officially accepted in the Federal Republic of Germany provided their seed is to be marketed in another country;

Whereas the cases of the other varieties are now being carefully examined by the Commission; whereas it is impossible to complete examination of the variety Optimon (sugar beet) as well as of the following variety

⁽¹⁾ OJ No L 225, 12. 10. 1970, p. 1.

⁽²⁾ OJ No L 293, 20. 11. 1979, p. 16.

included in Commission Decision 80/447/EEC ⁽¹⁾: Brio (potatoes) before the time limit specified in Article 15 (1) of the said Directive;

Whereas the time limit in question should therefore, where the Federal Republic of Germany is concerned, be extended for an appropriate period in order to allow complete examination of the application in respect of these varieties (Article 15 (7) thereof);

Whereas other varieties are no longer included in the German application;

Whereas the measures provided for in this Decision are in accordance with the opinion of the Standing Committee on Seed and Propagating Material for Agriculture, Horticulture and Forestry,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

1. The Federal Republic of Germany is hereby authorized to prohibit the marketing in its territory of seed of the following varieties listed in the 1981 common catalogue of varieties of agricultural plant species:

I. Sugar beet

Kawerita

II. Cereals

(1) Avena sativa L.	
Emeline	H 73 41 25
(2) Zea mays L.	H 73 41 45
Alessia	H 74 41 78
Amigel	H 74 42 84
Asso record	H 75 40 01
Atrea	Helios
Beta 632	Irmina
Caesar	Kobe Falcon
Colorado	Marcos
Concorde	Matador
Cresor	Nike Korall U 386
Dekalb DF 38	Mondor
Dekalb XL 73	Orione
Dekalb XL 78	Phoebus
Dekalb XL 79	Prolin
Dekalb XL 85	Sombor
Dekalb XL 360	Sylvia
Elettra	Valdor
Fully UC 7603	Valsud
Funk's G 108742	Venus
Funk's G Rock W 56	Vic
Gamma 640	Visa.

2. In respect of the variety Kawerita (sugar beet), the authorization given in paragraph 1 shall be valid only to the extent that its seed is not to be marketed in another country.

Article 2

The authorization given in Article 1 shall be withdrawn as soon as it is established that the conditions thereof are no longer satisfied.

Article 3

The Federal Republic of Germany shall notify the Commission of the date from which it makes use of the authorization under Article 1 and the detailed methods to be followed. The Commission shall inform the other Member States thereof.

Article 4

The time limit specified in Article 15 (1) of Directive 70/457/EEC is, where the Federal Republic of Germany is concerned, extended from 31 December 1980 to 31 March 1981 in respect of the following varieties:

I. Sugar beet

Optimon

II. Potatoes

Brio.

Article 5

This Decision is addressed to the Federal Republic of Germany.

Done at Brussels, 30 December 1980.

For the Commission

Finn GUNDELACH

Vice-President

⁽¹⁾ OJ No L 110, 29. 4. 1980, p. 25.

COMMISSION DECISION

of 30 December 1980

authorizing the French Republic to restrict the marketing of seed of certain varieties of
agricultural plant species

(Only the French text is authentic)

(80/1360/EEC)

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European
Economic Community,

Having regard to Council Directive 70/457/EEC of 29
September 1970 on the common catalogue of varieties
of agricultural plant species⁽¹⁾, as last amended by
Council Directive 79/967/EEC of 12 November
1979⁽²⁾, and in particular Article 15 (2), (3) and (7)
thereof,

Having regard to the application lodged by the French
Republic,

Whereas, under Article 15 (1) of the said Directive, seed
or propagating material of varieties of agricultural plant
species which have been officially accepted during 1978
in at least one of the Member States and which also
meet the conditions laid down in the said Directive are,
with effect from 31 December 1980, no longer subject
to any marketing restrictions relating to variety in the
Community;

Whereas, however, Article 15 (2) of the said Directive
provides that a Member State may be authorized upon
application to prohibit the marketing of seed and
propagating material of certain varieties;

Whereas the French Republic has applied for such
authorization for a certain number of varieties of
different species;

Whereas the varieties of maize concerned have not been
the subject of official growing trials in the French
Republic in view of the French application;

Whereas the varieties of maize concerned have an FAO
maturity class index over 700; whereas it is well known
that the varieties of maize which have an FAO maturity
class index over 700 are not yet suitable for cultivation
in the French Republic (second subparagraph of
Article 15 (3) (c) of the abovementioned Directive);

Whereas the variety Lara (cocksfoot) has been the
subject of official growing trials in the French Republic;

Whereas the results of these trials have led the French
Republic to realize that this variety is inferior there, as
regards value for cultivation or use, to other
comparable varieties accepted in the French Republic;

Whereas for this variety, the results of the trials show
that it does not produce results in the French Republic
which, with respect to their resistance to harmful
organisms, correspond to those obtained from a
comparable variety accepted there (first subparagraph
of Article 15 (3) (c) of the said Directive), although in
certain circumstances this is compensated to some
extent by other superior characteristics (second sentence
of Article 5 (4) of the said Directive);

Whereas, therefore, the application of the French
Republic in respect of all these varieties should be
granted in full;

Whereas the cases of other varieties are now being
carefully examined by the Commission;

Whereas in respect of the varieties Dovey (tall fescue),
Britta and Triton (red clover), as well as for the
following varieties included in Commission Decisions
79/94/EEC⁽³⁾ and 80/127/EEC⁽⁴⁾: Aled, Astra,

⁽¹⁾ OJ No L 225, 12. 10. 1970, p. 1.

⁽²⁾ OJ No L 293, 20. 11. 1979, p. 16.

⁽³⁾ OJ No L 22, 31. 1. 1979, p. 19.

⁽⁴⁾ OJ No L 29, 6. 2. 1980, p. 33.

Gollum, Grasslands Pawera, Palna (red clover), the French Republic has not yet been able, for reasons in part beyond its control, to justify its application;

Whereas the time limit specified in Article 15 (1) of the abovementioned Directive should therefore, where the French Republic is concerned, be extended for an appropriate period to allow it to prepare the necessary information on these varieties (Article 15 (7) of the abovementioned Directive);

Whereas other varieties are no longer included in the French application;

Whereas the measures provided for in this Decision are in accordance with the opinion of the Standing Committee on Seed and Propagating Material for Agriculture, Horticulture and Forestry,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

1. The French Republic is hereby authorized to prohibit the marketing in its territory of seed of the following varieties listed in the 1981 common catalogue of varieties of agricultural plant species:

I. Fodder plants

Dactylis glomerata L.
Lara

II. Cereals

Zea Mays L.
Colorado
Dekalb XL 73
Dekalb XL 78
Dekalb XL 79
Dekalb XL 85
Elettra
Gamma 640
H 73 41 45
H 74 41 78
Nike Korall U 386
Prolin.

Article 2

The authorization given in Article 1 shall be withdrawn as soon as it is established that the conditions thereof are no longer satisfied.

Article 3

The French Republic shall notify the Commission of the date from which it makes use of the authorization under Article 1 and the detailed methods to be followed. The Commission shall inform the other Member States thereof.

Article 4

The time limit specified in Article 15 (1) of Directive 70/457/EEC is, where the French Republic is concerned, extended from 31 December 1980 to 31 March 1981 in respect of the following varieties:

Fodder plants

- (1) Festuca arundinacea Schreb.
Dovey
- (2) Trifolium pratense L.
Aled
Astra
Britta
Gollum
Grasslands Pawera
Palna
Triton.

Article 5

This Decision is addressed to the French Republic.

Done at Brussels, 30 December 1980.

For the Commission

Finn GUNDELACH

Vice-President

COMMISSION DECISION

of 30 December 1980

authorizing the United Kingdom to restrict the marketing of seed of certain varieties of agricultural plant species

(Only the English text is authentic)

(80/1361/EEC)

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Economic Community,

Having regard to Council Directive 70/457/EEC of 29 September 1970 on the common catalogue of varieties of agricultural plant species⁽¹⁾, as last amended by Council Directive 79/967/EEC of 12 November 1979⁽²⁾, and in particular Article 15 (2), (3) and (7) thereof,

Having regard to the application lodged by the United Kingdom,

Whereas under Article 15 (1) of the said Directive, seed or propagating material of varieties of agricultural plant species which have been officially accepted during 1978 in at least one of the Member States and which also meet the conditions laid down in the said Directive are, with effect from 31 December 1980, no longer subject to any marketing restrictions relating to variety in the Community;

Whereas, however, Article 15 (2), of the said Directive provides that a Member State may be authorized upon application to prohibit the marketing of seed and propagating material of certain varieties;

Whereas the United Kingdom has applied for such authorization for a certain number of varieties of different species;

Whereas the varieties listed in this Decision have been the subject of official growing trials in the United Kingdom;

Whereas the results of these trials have led the United Kingdom to decide that these varieties are not sufficiently distinct there;

Whereas, in respect of the variety Lossam (Red clover), the results of the trials show that in the United Kingdom, when compared with the national rules governing the acceptance of varieties there, which apply as part of current Community provisions, it is not distinct from other varieties accepted therein (first subparagraph of Article 15 (3) (a) of the said Directive);

Whereas, therefore, the application of the United Kingdom in respect of this variety should be granted in full;

Whereas the cases of the other varieties are now being carefully examined by the Commission; whereas it is impossible to complete examination of the varieties Chiwago (Red fescue), Aka (Italian ryegrass), Albi, Arno, Barry, Maprima and Yorktown (Perennial ryegrass) before the time limit specified in Article 15 (1) of the said Directive;

Whereas the time limit in question shall therefore, where the United Kingdom is concerned, be extended for an appropriate period to allow complete examination of the application in respect of these varieties (Article 15 (7) of the above Directive);

Whereas other varieties are no longer included in the United Kingdom application;

Whereas the measures provided for in this Decision are in accordance with the opinion of the Standing Committee on Seed and Propagating Material for Agriculture, Horticulture and Forestry,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

The United Kingdom is hereby authorized to prohibit the marketing in its territory of seed of the following

(¹) OJ No L 225, 12. 10. 1970, p. 1

(²) OJ No L 293, 20. 11. 1979, p. 16.

variety listed in the 1981 common catalogue of varieties of agricultural plant species: Lossam (*Trifolium pratense* L.).

Article 2

The authorization given in Article 1 shall be withdrawn as soon as it is established that the conditions thereof are no longer satisfied.

Article 3

The United Kingdom shall notify the Commission of the date from which it makes use of the authorization under Article 1 and the detailed methods to be followed. The Commission shall inform the other Member States thereof.

Article 4

1. The time limit specified in Article 15 (1) of Directive 70/457/EEC is, where the United Kingdom is concerned, extended from 31 December 1980 to 31 March 1981 in respect of the following varieties:

Fodder plants

- (1) *Festuca rubra* L.
Chiwago

- (2) *Lolium perenne* L.
Albi
Arno
Barry
Maprima
Yorktown.

2. The time limit specified in paragraph 1 is, where the United Kingdom is concerned, extended from 31 December 1980 to 30 June 1981 in respect of the following variety:

Fodder plants

Lolium multiflorum Lam.
Aka.

Article 5

This Decision is addressed to the United Kingdom.

Done at Brussels, 30 December 1980.

For the Commission

Finn GUNDELACH

Vice-President

COMMISSION DECISION

of 30 December 1980

authorizing Ireland to store outside its territory, during the first quarter of 1981, beef products held by its intervention agency

(Only the English text is authentic)

(80/1362/EEC)

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Economic Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC) No 805/68 of 27 June 1968 on the common organization of the market in beef and veal ⁽¹⁾, as last amended by Regulation (EEC) No 2966/80 ⁽²⁾,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC) No 1055/77 of 17 May 1977 on the storage and movements of products bought in by an intervention agency ⁽³⁾, and in particular Article 4 thereof,

Whereas Article 1 (1) of Regulation (EEC) No 1055/77 provides that intervention agencies may not store products they have bought in pursuant to Community provisions outside the territory of the Member State within whose jurisdiction they fall unless they have obtained prior authorization; whereas Ireland has applied for authorization to transport and place in storage in other Member States during January, February and March 1981, a total of 2 000 tonnes of beef held by its intervention agency;

Whereas, having regard to storage capacity in Ireland and storage possibilities in Belgium and the Netherlands, this application should be approved;

Whereas it is necessary for the intervention agency of a Member State on whose territory storage takes place to be informed of the location and quantity of the stocks of products stored on that territory by another intervention agency;

Whereas the measures provided for in this Decision are in accordance with the opinion of the Management Committee for Beef and Veal,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

1. Ireland is hereby authorized to transport and to place in storage between 1 January and 31 March 1981 approximately 2 000 tonnes of frozen unboned beef in Belgium and the Netherlands.

2. The Irish intervention agency shall inform the intervention agency of each Member State on whose territory storage takes place of the location and quantity of its stocks on that territory, and of any movement of such stocks.

Article 2

This Decision is addressed to Ireland.

Done at Brussels, 30 December 1980.

For the Commission

Finn GUNDELACH

Vice-President

⁽¹⁾ OJ No L 148, 28. 6. 1968, p. 24.

⁽²⁾ OJ No L 307, 18. 11. 1980, p. 5.

⁽³⁾ OJ No L 128, 24. 5. 1977, p. 1.