

Official Journal

of the European Communities

ISSN 0378 - 6978

L 339

Volume 31

9 December 1988

Legislation

English edition :

Contents

I *Acts whose publication is obligatory*

- ★ **Commission Regulation (EEC) No 3798/88 of 24 November 1988 laying down detailed rules concerning follow-up reports on projects granted financial aid in the framework of Community measures to improve and adapt structures in the fisheries and aquaculture sector** 1

I

(Acts whose publication is obligatory)

COMMISSION REGULATION (EEC) N° 3798/88

of 24 November 1988

laying down detailed rules concerning follow-up reports on projects granted financial aid in the framework of Community measures to improve and adapt structures in the fisheries and aquaculture sector

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Economic Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC) No 4028/86 of 18 December 1986 on Community measures to improve and adapt structures in the fisheries and aquaculture sector ⁽¹⁾, and in particular Article 39 (3) thereof,

Whereas, in accordance with Article 39 of Regulation (EEC) No 4028/86, the beneficiary should forward to the Commission, through the Member State concerned, a report on the results of the project (hereinafter referred to as 'the follow-up report');

Whereas these follow-up reports should enable the Commission to make checks on Community expenditure and cumulatively contribute to information on the economic state and development of the fisheries sector, which is necessary to ensure the proper functioning of the structural element of the common fisheries policy;

Whereas the follow-up reports, in particular on the financial results, should indicate clearly the operating costs and viability of projects, in particular with reference to those envisaged when community financial aid was granted to them;

Whereas the information contained in follow-up reports should be presented in standard forms appropriate to the types of project;

Whereas beneficiaries of the Community grant should ensure that the follow-up report is forwarded at the time it is due;

Whereas the measures provided for in this Regulation are in accordance with the opinion of the Standing Committee on the Fishing Industry,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1

1. Follow-up reports, forwarded by the beneficiary through the Member State concerned, shall reach the Commission not later than three months after the period fixed in Article 39 (1) of Regulation (EEC) No 4028/86, namely two years after the last payment of aid for projects referred to in Title II and in Article 11 (1) (a) and five years after the last payment of aid for projects referred to in Article 11 (1) (b) of the aforementioned Regulation.

2. The data which the follow-up report must contain are indicated in the Annex according to the types of project concerned.

3. If the report is not received by the due date it shall be considered that the beneficiary has not fulfilled the obligation laid down in Article 39 (1) of Regulation (EEC) No 4028/86.

Article 2

If, after the last payment by the Commission, there are changes of ownership or status of the undertaking which is a beneficiary of Community aid in accordance with existing Community provisions, the notified report shall be forwarded by the new owner.

In this event, the beneficiary shall remain responsible for ensuring that the obligations referred to in Article 1 (3) are fulfilled.

Article 3

Comprehensive records on the results of the project shall be kept at the disposal of the Commission by the beneficiary for a period of three years after the forwarding of the follow-up report to the Commission.

Article 4

This Regulation shall enter into force on the third day following its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Communities*.

⁽¹⁾ OJ No L 376, 31. 12. 1986, p. 7.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 24 November 1988.

For the Commission
António CARDOSO E CUNHA
Member of the Commission

ANNEX

**FOLLOW-UP REPORT ON THE RESULTS OF OPERATING A NEW INSTALLATION FOR
FARMING OF FISH, CRUSTACEANS OR MOLLUSCS BUILT WITH THE SUPPORT OF
COMMUNITY FUNDS WITHIN THE FRAMEWORK OF COUNCIL REGULATION (EEC)
No 4028/86**

(NB: Before completing this form please read the notes overleaf)

(Space reserved for official use)

ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

(To be completed by the beneficiary/owner)

I. 1. Application/Project — No:

Commission Decision

— No:

Date:

--	--	--

I. 2. Work on project: Date of commencement of works:

--	--

Date of completion:

--	--

I. 3. Cost of investment: Estimate:

Actual:

Amount of grant paid: EEC:

Member State:

II. I/we hereby certify that the information in this project is correct to the best of my/our knowledge and authorize the Commission to use the data for statistical purposes.

Signature of the owner (all owners if more than one):

.....	
.....	
.....	

(To be completed by the national administration)

III. This report is forwarded to the Commission of the European Communities through:

Member
State:

Authority:

Seal/Signature: Date:

Notes:

1. (a) According to Article 39 of Regulation (EEC) No 4028/86 of 18 December 1986 on Community measures to improve and adapt structures in the fisheries and aquaculture sector, beneficiaries of aid shall forward to the Commission, through the Member State concerned, a report on the results of the project;
(b) this information is required in accordance with the conditions of approval and payment of a grant from the Community and is to be provided by the owner(s) two years after the last payment of aid.
2. Such 'follow-up' reports are required so that the economic state and development of the fishing sector may be continuously assessed by the Commission.
3. The information provided is treated as confidential and will not be disclosed by the Commission to anybody without prior permission of the owner(s), however the Commission reserves the right to use these data for statistical purposes.
4. This report is in two parts:
Part I should comprise the observations, suggestions and comments on the results of the project.
Part II should indicate the viability of the project. Tax data are not required, but operating costs must be clearly and comprehensively indicated, so that the actual results achieved by the project may be fully understood.
5. Any significant differences which occur between the actual results and those forecast in the application for a grant from the Community should be explained.

PART I

1. Time delay between your application to the national administration and the date of the first payment by the Community:

1.1. No of months:

- 1.2. Causes of eventual delay:

The Community decision process

☐

Authorization procedures

☐

Contractors' delay

☐

Other

☐

Specify:

.....
.....
.....

Suggestions:

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

2. Time delay between your first and last payment by Brussels:

2.1. No of months

- 2.2. Difficulties encountered with

Delay in works

☐

Administrative payment process

☐

Other

☐

(Specify if possible):

.....
.....
.....

2.3. Suggestions:

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

3. EEC and State aid are given after you start your project. How did you cope with the initial financial requirements:

Your own funds

☐

Bank loans:

☐

Amount:

.....

Duration:

.....

Interest rate:

.....

Type of guarantees:

.....

.....

Other:

☐

4. If no Community aid had been given, would the project have had to be modified?

YES

☐

Explain the reasons:

.....

.....

NO

☐

5. How did the Community aid respond to your expectations?

Adequately

☐

Inadequately

☐

Comments if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....

6. Did you encounter difficulties in obtaining the concession/licence for your fish-farm?

Yes

☐

Of what kind?

.....

.....

NO

☐

7. Has the project developed as anticipated?

YES

☐

NO

☐

For what reasons?

.....

.....

8. Could it have been more successful if implemented differently?

YES

☐

Explain how?

.....

.....

NO

☐

9. Have changes been made since the application for a Community grant?

NO

☐

YES

☐

No of basins:

No of cages:

Rearing area:

Species:

10. Do the initially estimated parameters maintain their validity?

YES

☐

NO

☐

Changes in stock density:

.....

.....

Changes in juvenile survival rate, per species raised and per age group:

.....

.....

.....

Changes in conversion rate, per species raised and per age group:

.....

.....

.....

Other (specify):

.....

.....

.....

11. Have you had problems of:

1. Water supply

☐

2. Pollution

☐

3. Juvenile supply

☐

4. Nutrition

☐

5. Diseases

☐

Of what kind?

.....

12. What improvements did this project bring to your firm?

Increase in revenue

☐

Lower operating costs

☐

Which?

Increase/Decrease
in labour (*)☐

How many persons?

Improvement in value of assets

☐

Decrease in debt

☐

Higher rate of return

☐

Other

☐

Specify:

.....

.....

13. What developments in the fishing and aquaculture sector influenced your operations?

Available fish resources

☐

Fishing conditons

☐

Prices:

— higher

☐

— lower

☐

Operating costs:

— higher

☐

— lower

☐

Processing facilities

☐

Other

☐

Specify:

.....

.....

Comment on each development if possible:

.....

.....

.....

(*) Delete as applicable.

PART II/A

PARTICULARS OF PROJECT No/...../.....

New installation for the farming of fish, crustaceans and molluscs

Production forecasts ⁽¹⁾ and actual levels (f = forecast, a = actual):

- It was anticipated that the project would be completed in: years
 Actual: years
- Normal production level would be reached in: years
 Actual: years

1. Juveniles/spat

Product ⁽¹⁾	Individual weight or diameter for molluscs		Total number or total quantity (kg)		Total value (national currency)	
	f	a	f	a	f	a
A. For sale:						
1.						
2.						
3.						
4.						
5.						
Total value (only)						
B. For use on site:						
1.						
2.						
3.						
4.						
5.						
⁽¹⁾ Names of species in Latin, e. g. <i>Salmo salar</i> , <i>Salmo trutta</i> , <i>Scophthalmus maximus</i> , <i>Dicentrarchus labrax</i> , <i>Sparus auratus</i> , <i>Crassostrea gigas</i> , <i>Mytilus edulis</i> , <i>Pecten maximus</i> .						

2. Adults

Product ⁽¹⁾	Individual weight		Total number or total quantity (tonnes)		Total value (national currency)	
	f	a	f	a	f	a
For sale:						
1.						
2.						
3.						
4.						
5.						
Total value (only)						
⁽¹⁾ Names of species in Latin, e. g. <i>Salmo salar</i> , <i>Salmo trutta</i> , <i>Scophthalmus maximus</i> , <i>Dicentrarchus labrax</i> , <i>Sparus auratus</i> , <i>Crassostrea gigas</i> , <i>Mytilus edulis</i> , <i>Pecten maximus</i> .						

⁽¹⁾ Forecasts: as made at time of application for grant.

PART II/B

OPERATING COSTS AND PROJECT VIABILITY

	Forecast 19....	Actual	
		Year I	Year II
1. Turnover (value) (quantity in tonnes)			
2. Costs of production			
2.1. Energy costs			
2.2. Feed			
2.3. Purchase of juveniles/spat (Number)			
2.4. Cost of labour			
2.5. Insurance			
2.6. Maintenance			
2.7. Other operating expenses (*)			
3. Operating profit before depreciation (1 — 2)			
4. Depreciation			
5. Operating profit before interest and taxes (3 — 4)			
6. Financial charges			
7. Operating profit before tax (5 — 6)			

Explanatory notes:

(*) Please specify nature of expenses.

**FOLLOW-UP REPORT ON THE RESULTS OF OPERATING A MODERNIZED OR
EXTENDED INSTALLATION FOR THE FARMING OF FISH, CRUSTACEANS OR
MOLLUSCS BUILT WITH THE SUPPORT OF COMMUNITY FUNDS WITHIN THE
FRAMEWORK OF COUNCIL REGULATION (EEC) No 4028/86**

(NB: Before completing this form please read the notes overleaf)

(space reserved for official use)

ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

(To be completed by the beneficiary/owner)

I. 1. Application/Project — No:

Commission Decision — No: Date

--	--	--

I. 2. Work on project: Date of commencement of works:

--	--

Date of completion:

--	--

I. 3. Cost of investment: Estimate:

Actual:

Amount of grant paid: EEC:

Member State:

II. I/we hereby certify that the information in this project is correct to the best of my/our knowledge and authorize the Commission to use the data for statistical purposes.

Signature of the owner (all owners if more than one):

.....	
.....	
.....	

(To be completed by the national administration)

III. This report is forwarded to the Commission of the European Communities through:

Member State:

Authority:

Seal/Signature: Date:

Notes:

1. (a) According to Article 39 of Regulation (EEC) No 4028/86 of 18 December 1986 on Community measures to improve and adapt structures in the fisheries and aquaculture sector, beneficiaries of aid shall forward to the Commission, through the Member State concerned, a report on the results of the project;
(b) this information is required in accordance with the conditions of approval and payment of a grant from the Community and is to be provided by the owner(s) two years after the last payment of aid.
2. Such 'follow-up' reports are required so that the economic state and development of the fishing sector may be continuously assessed by the Commission.
3. The information provided is treated as confidential and will not be disclosed by the Commission to anybody without prior permission of the owner(s), however the Commission reserves the right to use these data for statistical purposes.
4. This report is in two parts:
Part I should comprise the observations, suggestions and comments on the results of the project.
Part II should indicate the viability of the project. Tax data are not required, but operating costs must be clearly and comprehensively indicated, so that the actual results achieved by the project may be fully understood.
5. Any significant differences which occur between the actual results and those forecast in the application for a grant from the Community should be explained.

PART I

1. Time delay between your application to the national administration and the date of the first payment by the Community:

1.1. No of months:

- 1.2. Causes of eventual delay:

The Community decision process

☐

Authorization procedures

☐

Contractors' delay

☐

Other

☐

Specify:

.....
.....
.....

Suggestions:

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

2. Time delay between your first and last payment by Brussels:

2.1. No of months:

- 2.2. Difficulties encountered with:

Delay in works

☐

Administrative payment process

☐

Other

☐

Specify if possible:

.....
.....
.....

- 2.3. Suggestions:

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

3. EEC and State aid are given after you start your project. How did you cope with the initial financial requirements:

Your own funds

☐

Bank loans

☐

Amount:

.....

Duration:

.....

Interest rate:

.....

Type of guarantees:

.....

Other

☐

4. If no Community aid had been given, would the project have had to be modified?

YES

☐

Explain the reasons:

.....

.....

NO

☐

5. How did the Community aid respond to your expectations?

Adequately

☐

Inadequately

☐

Comments if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....

6. Objective of investment:

Extension of rearing area

☐

Increase in No of basins

☐

Increase in No of cages

☐

Changes in technology

☐

Rearing of new species

☐

Which?

.....

.....

Increase in productivity

☐

Other

☐

Specify:

.....

.....

.....

.....

7. Do the initially estimated parameters maintain their validity?

YES

☐

NO

☐

Changes in stock density:

.....

.....

Changes in juvenile survival rate, per species raised and per age group:

.....

.....

.....

Changes in conversion rate, per species raised and per age group:

.....

.....

.....

Other (specify):

.....

.....

.....

8. Have you had problems of:

1. Water supply

☐

2. Pollution

☐

3. Juvenile supply

☐

4. Nutrition

☐

5. Diseases

☐

Of what kind?

.....

9. Could the project have been more successful if implemented differently?

NO

☐

YES

☐

How?

.....

10. What improvements did this project bring to your firm?

Increase in revenues

☐

Lower operating costs

☐

Which?

.....

Increase/Decrease
in labour (*)

☐

How many persons?

.....

Higher rate of return

☐

Improvement in value of assets

☐

Decrease in liabilities

☐

Other

☐

Specify:

.....

.....

.....

11. What developments in the fishing and aquaculture sector influenced your operations?

Available fish resources

☐

Fishing conditions

☐

Prices:

— higher

☐

— lower

☐

Operating costs:

— higher

☐

— lower

☐

Processing facilities

☐

Other

☐

Specify:

.....

.....

.....

Comment on each development if possible:

.....

.....

.....

(*) Delete as applicable.

PART II/A

PARTICULARS OF PROJECT No/...../.....

Modernized or extended installation for the farming of fish, crustaceans and molluscs

Production forecasts ⁽¹⁾ and actual levels (p = previous, f = forecast, a = actual):

— It was anticipated that the project would be completed in: years

Actual: years

— Normal production level would be reached in: years

Actual: years

1. Juveniles/spat

Product ⁽¹⁾	Individual weight			Total number or total quantity (kg)			Total value (national currency)		
	p	f	a	p	f	a	p	f	a
A. For sale:									
1.									
2.									
3.									
4.									
5.									
Total value (only)									
B. For use on site:									
1.									
2.									
3.									
4.									
5.									
⁽¹⁾ Names of species in Latin, e.g. <i>Salmo salar</i> , <i>Salmo trutta</i> , <i>Scophthalmus maximus</i> , <i>Dicentrarchus labrax</i> , <i>Sparus auratus</i> , <i>Crassostrea gigas</i> , <i>Mytilus edulis</i> , <i>Pecten maximus</i> .									

2. Adults

Product ⁽¹⁾	Individual weight			Total number or total quantity (kg)			Total value (national currency)		
	p	f	a	p	f	a	p	f	a
For sale:									
1.									
2.									
3.									
4.									
5.									
Total value (only)									
⁽¹⁾ Names of species in Latin, e. g. <i>Salmo salar</i> , <i>Salmo trutta</i> , <i>Scophthalmus maximus</i> , <i>Dicentrarchus labrax</i> , <i>Sparus auratus</i> , <i>Crassostrea gigas</i> , <i>Mytilus edulis</i> , <i>Pecten maximus</i> .									

⁽¹⁾ Forecasts: as made at time of application for grant.

PART II/B

OPERATING COSTS AND PROJECT VIABILITY

	Previous	Forecast 19....	Actual	
			Year I	Year II
1. Turnover (value) (quantity in tonnes)				
2. Costs of production				
2.1. Energy costs				
2.2. Feed				
2.3. Purchase of juveniles/spat				
2.4. Cost of labour				
2.5. Insurance				
2.6. Maintenance				
2.7. Other operating expenses (*)				
3. Operating profit before depreciation (1 — 2)				
4. Depreciation				
5. Operating profit before interest and taxes (3 — 4)				
6. Financial charges				
7. Operating profit before tax (5 — 6)				

Explanatory notes:

(*) Please specify nature of expenses.

**FOLLOW-UP REPORT ON THE RESULTS OF OPERATING A NEW FISHING VESSEL BUILT
WITH THE SUPPORT OF COMMUNITY FUNDS WITHIN THE FRAMEWORK OF COUNCIL
REGULATION (EEC) No 4028/86**

(NB: Before completing this form please read the notes overleaf)

(space reserved for official use)

ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

(To be completed by the beneficiary/owner)

I. 1. Application/Project — No.:

Commission Decision — No.: Date

--	--	--

I. 2. Work on project: Date of signature of contract with the shipyard

--	--

Date of entry into service (*)

--	--

I. 3. Cost of investment: Estimate:

Actual:

Amount of grant paid: EEC:

Member State:

II. I/we hereby certify that the information in this project is correct to the best of my/our knowledge and authorize the Commission to use the data for statistical purposes.

Signature of the owner (all owners if more than one):

.....
.....
.....

(*) As defined in Article 6 of Council Regulation (EEC) No 2930/86 (OJ No L 274, 25. 9. 1986, p. 1).

(To be completed by the national administration)

III. This report is forwarded to the Commission of the European Communities through:

Member State:

Authority:

Seal/Signature: Date:

Notes:

1. (a) According to Article 39 of Regulation (EEC) No 4028/86 of 18 December 1986 on Community measures to improve and adapt structures in the fisheries and aquaculture sector, beneficiaries of aid shall forward to the Commission, through the Member State concerned, a report on the results of the project;
(b) this information is required in accordance with the conditions of approval and payment of a grant from the Community and is to be provided by the owner(s) two years after the last payment of aid.
2. Such 'follow-up' reports are required so that the economic state and development of the fishing sector may be continuously assessed by the Commission.
3. The information provided is treated as confidential and will not be disclosed by the Commission to anybody without prior permission of the owner(s), however the Commission reserves the right to use these data for statistical purposes.
4. This report is in two parts:
Part I should comprise the observations, suggestions and comments on the results of the project.
Part II should indicate the viability of the project. Tax data are not required, but operating costs must be clearly and comprehensively indicated, so that the actual results achieved by the project may be fully understood.
5. Any significant differences which occur between the actual results and those forecast in the application for a grant from the Community should be explained.

PART I

1. Time delay between your application to the national administration and the date of the first payment by the Community:

1.1. No of months:

- 1.2. Causes of eventual delay:

The Community decision process

☐

Shipyard delay

☐

Other

☐

Specify:

.....

.....

.....

Suggestions:

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

2. Time delay between your first and last payment by Brussels:

2.1. No of months

- 2.2. Difficulties encountered with:

Shipyard delay

☐

Administrative payment process

☐

Other

☐

Specify if possible:

.....

.....

.....

- 2.3. Suggestions:

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

3. EEC and State aid are given after you start your project. How did you cope with the initial financial requirements:

Your own funds

☐

Bank loans

☐

Amount:

Duration:

Interest rate:

Type of guarantees:

Other

☐

4. If no Community aid had been given, would the project have had to be modified?

YES

☐

Explain the reasons:

NO

☐

5. How did the Community aid respond to your expectations?

Adequately

☐

Inadequately

☐

Comments if any:

6. Have you changed your shipyard since the first presentation of your project to the Commission?

NO

☐

YES

☐

Due to:

Price changes

☐

Delays

☐

Improvements in
quality

☐

Other

☐

Specify:

7. Has the project developed as anticipated?

YES

☐

NO

☐Why?
.....

Changes in:

Length between
perpendiculars☐Power of main
engine☐

GRT

☐

Fishing gear

☐

Equipment:

☐

Fishing grounds

☐

Other

☐Specify:
.....
.....
.....

8. Could it have been more successful if implemented differently?

NO

☐

YES

☐How?
.....

With changes in:

Length between
perpendiculars☐Power of main
engine☐

GRT

☐

Fishing gear

☐

Equipment

☐

Fishing grounds

☐

Other

☐

Specify:

.....

.....

.....

9. What improvements did this project bring to your firm?

Increase in revenue ☐

Lower operating costs ☐

— Fuel and lubricants ☐

— Labour ☐

— Other ☐

Specify:

.....

.....

.....

Increase/Decrease
in labour (*) ☐

How many fishermen?

.....

Higher rate of return ☐

Improvement in value in liabilities ☐

Decrease in liabilities ☐

Other ☐

10. What developments in the fishing and aquaculture sector influenced your operations?

Available fish resources ☐

Fishing conditions ☐

Prices: — higher ☐

— lower ☐

Operating costs: — higher ☐

— lower ☐

(*) Delete if not applicable.

Processing facilities

☐

Other

☐

Specify:

.....

.....

.....

Comment on each development if possible:

.....

.....

.....

PART II/A

ACTIVITY OF VESSEL

(covering the two years from completion of project)

1. Name of vessel: Registration No.:
 Home port: Port of registry:
 Member State: Main port of unloading:
 Region: Second port of unloading:
 Other ports of unloading:

Length (pp): Number of crew:
 Tonnage (GRT): Vessel majority owner aboard: YES/NO
 Engine power (hp/kW):

2. Schedule of fishing (f = forecast, a = actual)

Year	Number of trips		Total No of fishing days per year		Fishing zones ⁽¹⁾		Fishing method ⁽²⁾		Fuel consumption (1 000 kg)	
	f	a	f	a	f	a	f	a	f	a
1										
2										

⁽¹⁾ In the 'fishing zones' column, enter the ICES divisions or NAFO sub-areas. For the Caribbean, Mediterranean maritime regions, etc., use the terms laid down by the competent national bodies.

⁽²⁾ Indicate the 'fishing method/vessel type' according to Annex 1.

3. Landings

	For human consumption						Other uses (¹)		Grand totals
	Benthic/ Demersal		Pelagic		Crutacea and molluscs				
	f	a	f	a	f	a	f	a	
Quantity (tonnes):									
— First year									
— Second year									
Total									
Value (1 000 national currency)									
— First year									
— Second year									
Total									

⁽¹⁾ Where appropriate, enter the number of months spent fishing for purposes other than 'human consumption'.

PART II/B

OPERATING COSTS AND PROJECT VIABILITY

(Complete this Annex if the crew work on share contracts; otherwise complete Annex II/C)

	Forecast	Actual	
		Year I	Year II
1. Turnover/Sales			
2. Shared expenses			
2.1. Fuel and lubricants			
2.2. Ice and provisions			
2.3. Other (*)			
—			
—			
3. Turnover minus expenses at 2			
4. Value of crew's share (...% of 3)			
5. Value of shipowner's share (...% of 3)			
6. Shipowner's expenses			
6.1. Financial charges			
6.2. Insurance			
6.3. Maintenance			
6.4. Other (*)			
7. Operating profit before tax (5 — 6)			

Explanatory notes:

(*) Please specify nature of expenses.

PART II/C

OPERATING COSTS

(Complete this Annex if the crew work on wage contracts)

	Forecast	Actual	
		Year I	Year II
1. Turnover/Sales			
2. Costs of production			
2.1. Fuel and lubricants			
2.2. Ice and provisions			
2.3. Labour			
2.4. Insurance			
2.5. Maintenance			
2.6. Other operating expenses (*)			
3. Operating profit before depreciation (1 — 2)			
4. Depreciation			
5. Operating profit before interest and taxes (3 — 4)			
6. Financial charges			
7. Operating profit before tax (5 — 6)			

Explanatory notes:

(*) Please specify nature of expenses.

Annex I

VESSELS' CODES

Vessels

Code

1.	Trawlers	TO
1.2.	Side trawlers	TS
1.2.1.	Wet-fish	TSW
1.2.2.	Freezers	TSF
1.3.	Stern trawlers	TT
1.3.1.	Wet-fish	TTW
1.3.2.	Freezers	TTF
1.3.3.	Factory trawlers	TTP
1.4.	Outrigger trawlers	TU
1.5.	Trawlers (not elsewhere included)	TOX
2.	Seiners	SO
2.1.	Purse seiners	SP
2.1.1.	North American type	SPA
2.1.2.	European type	SPE
2.2.	Tuna purse seiners	SPT
2.3.	Seine netters	SN
2.4.	Seiners (not elsewhere included)	SOX
3.	Dredgers	DO
3.1.	Non-continuous dredging	DB
3.2.	Continuous dredging	DM
3.3.	Dredgers (not elsewhere included)	DOX
4.	Lift-setters	NO
4.1.	Using one boat-operated net	NB
4.2.	Lift-netters (not elsewhere included)	NOX
5.	Trap-netters	WO
5.1.	Pot vessels	WOL
5.2.	Trap setters (not elsewhere included)	WOX
6.	Liners	LO
6.1.	Handliners	LH
6.2.	Longliners	LL
6.3.	Tuna longliners	LLT
6.4.	Pole and line vessels	LP
6.4.1.	Japanese type	LPJ
6.4.2.	American type	LPA
6.5.	Trollers	LT
6.6.	Liners (not elsewhere included)	LOX
7.	Vessels using pumps for fishing	PO
8.	Multipurpose vessels	MO
8.1.	Seiner-handliners	MSN
8.2.	Trawler-purse seiners	MTS
8.3.	Trawler-drifters	MTG
8.4.	Multipurpose vessels (not elsewhere included)	MOX
9.	Fishing vessels (not elsewhere included)	FX

**FOLLOW-UP REPORT ON THE RESULTS OF OPERATING A NEW PROJECT OF
STRUCTURAL WORKS TO MAKE FULLER USE OF COASTAL WATERS BUILT WITH
THE SUPPORT OF COMMUNITY FUNDS WITHIN THE FRAMEWORK OF COUNCIL
REGULATION (EEC) No 4028/86**

(NB: Before completing this form please read the notes overleaf)

(space reserved for official use)

ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

(To be completed by the beneficiary/owner)

I. 1. Application/Project — No:

Commission Decision — No: Date

--	--	--

I. 2. Work on project: Date of commencement of works

--	--

Date of on-site positioning

--	--

I. 3. Cost of investment: Estimate:

Actual:

Amount of grant paid: EEC:

Member State:

II. I/we hereby certify that the information in this project is correct to the best of my/our knowledge and authorize the Commission to use the data for statistical purposes.

Signature of the owner (all owners if more than one):

.....

.....

.....

(To be completed by the Member State)

III. This report is forwarded to the Commission of the European Communities through:

Member State:

Authority:

Seal/Signature: Date:

Notes:

1. (a) According to Article 39 of Regulation (EEC) No 4028/86 of 18 December 1986 on Community measures to improve and adapt structures in the fisheries and aquaculture sector, beneficiaries of aid shall forward to the Commission, through the Member State concerned, a report on the results of the project;
(b) this information is required in accordance with the conditions of approval and payment of a grant from the Community and is to be provided by the owner(s) five years after the last payment of aid.
2. Such 'follow-up' reports are required so that the economic state and development of the fishing sector may be continuously assessed by the Commission.
3. The information provided is treated as confidential and will not be disclosed by the Commission to anybody without prior permission of the owner(s), however the Commission reserves the right to use these data for statistical purposes.
4. This report is in two parts:
Part I should comprise the observations, suggestions and comments on the results of the project.
Part II shall consist of the conclusions of the three year scientific monitoring as well as the assessment and verification of changes in fishery resources within the area concerned, referred to in Article 11 (5) of Council Regulation (EEC) No 4028/86.
5. Any significant differences which occur between the actual results and those forecast in the application for a grant from the Community should be explained.

PART I

1. Time delay between your application to the national administration and the date of the first payment by the Community:

1.1. No of months:

- 1.2. Causes of eventual delay:

The Community decision process

☐

Contractors' delay

☐

Other

☐

Specify:

.....

.....

.....

Suggestions:

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

2. Time delay between your first and last payment by Brussels:

2.1. No of months:

- 2.2. Difficulties encountered with:

Delay in works

☐

Administrative payment process

☐

Other

☐

Specify if possible:

.....

.....

.....

- 2.3. Suggestions:

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

3. EEC and State aid are given after you start your project. How did you cope with the initial financial requirements:

Your own funds

☐

Bank loans

☐

Amount:

Duration:

Interest rate:

Type of guarantees:

Other

☐

4. If no Community aid had been given, would the project have had to be modified?

YES

☐

Explain the reasons:

NO

☐

5. How did the Community aid respond to your expectations?

Adequately

☐

Inadequately

☐

Comments if any:

.....

.....

.....

6. Has the project developed as anticipated?

YES

☐

NO

☐

Why?

.....

7. Could it have been more successful if implemented differently?

YES

☐

How?

.....

NO

☐

8. What improvements did this project bring to:

Tourism:

☐

Environment

☐

Fishing operations

☐

Fish prices

☐

Operating costs

☐

Other

☐

Specify:

.....

.....

.....

Observations:

.....

.....

.....

PART II

**CONSISTS OF THE REPORT OF THE THREE-YEAR SCIENTIFIC MONITORING REFERRED TO IN
ARTICLE 11 (5) OF COUNCIL REGULATION (EEC) No 4028/86**