

Official Journal

of the European Union

L 221



English edition

Legislation

Volume 52

25 August 2009

Contents

I Acts adopted under the EC Treaty/Euratom Treaty whose publication is obligatory

REGULATIONS

- ★ **Council Regulation (EC) No 768/2009 of 17 August 2009 amending Regulation (EC) No 1890/2005 imposing a definitive anti-dumping duty on imports of certain stainless steel fasteners and parts thereof originating, *inter alia*, in Vietnam** 1

Commission Regulation (EC) No 769/2009 of 24 August 2009 establishing the standard import values for determining the entry price of certain fruit and vegetables 5

Corrigenda

- ★ **Corrigendum to Commission Regulation (EC) No 951/2007 of 9 August 2007 laying down implementing rules for cross-border cooperation programmes financed under Regulation (EC) No 1638/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council laying down general provisions establishing a European Neighbourhood and Partnership Instrument (OJ L 210, 10.8.2007)** 7

I

(Acts adopted under the EC Treaty/Euratom Treaty whose publication is obligatory)

REGULATIONS

COUNCIL REGULATION (EC) No 768/2009

of 17 August 2009

amending Regulation (EC) No 1890/2005 imposing a definitive anti-dumping duty on imports of certain stainless steel fasteners and parts thereof originating, *inter alia*, in Vietnam

THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,

the measures imposed by the original Regulation will be hereinafter referred to as 'the original investigation'.

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community,

2. Request for a review

Having regard to Council Regulation (EC) No 384/96 of 22 December 1995 on protection against dumped imports from countries not members of the European Community ⁽¹⁾ (the basic Regulation), and in particular Article 11(3) thereof,

(2) A request for a partial interim review (the present review) pursuant to Article 11(3) of the basic Regulation was lodged by Header Plan Co. Ltd, a Vietnamese exporting producer of SSF ('the applicant' or 'HPV'). The request was limited in scope to dumping and to the applicant company.

Having regard to the proposal submitted by the Commission after consulting the Advisory Committee,

(3) The applicant provided *prima facie* evidence that the continued application of the measure at its current level was no longer necessary to offset dumping. In particular, the applicant provided *prima facie* evidence showing that it meets the criteria for market economy treatment (MET) and individual treatment (IT). Furthermore, in the absence of domestic sales, a comparison of its costs of production and export prices to the Community indicated that the dumping margin appeared to be substantially lower than the current level of the measure.

Whereas:

A. PROCEDURE

1. Measures in force

(1) By Regulation (EC) No 1890/2005 of 14 November 2005 imposing a definitive anti-dumping duty and collecting definitively the provisional duty imposed on imports of certain stainless steel fasteners and parts thereof originating in the People's Republic of China, Indonesia, Taiwan, Thailand and Vietnam and terminating the proceeding on imports of certain stainless steel fasteners and parts thereof originating in Malaysia and the Philippines ⁽²⁾, the Council imposed a definitive anti-dumping duty on imports of certain stainless steel fasteners and parts thereof (SSF) originating, *inter alia*, in Vietnam. The Regulation will hereinafter be referred to as 'the original Regulation' and the investigation that led to

3. Investigation

(4) Having determined, after consulting the Advisory Committee, that the request contained sufficient *prima facie* evidence, the Commission announced on 13 August 2008 the initiation of a partial interim review pursuant to Article 11(3) of the basic Regulation by a notice of initiation published in the *Official Journal of the European Union* ⁽³⁾.

(5) The review was limited in scope to the examination of dumping in respect of the applicant. The investigation of dumping covered the period from 1 July 2007 to 30 June 2008 ('the review investigation period' or 'RIP').

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 56, 6.3.1996, p. 1.

⁽²⁾ OJ L 302, 19.11.2005, p. 1.

⁽³⁾ OJ C 206, 13.8.2008, p. 12.

- (6) The Commission officially informed the applicant, the representatives of the exporting country and the association of Community producers about the initiation of the review. Interested parties were given the opportunity to make their views known in writing and to request a hearing within the time limit set in the notice of initiation.
- (7) All interested parties, who so requested and showed that there were particular reasons why they should be heard, were granted a hearing.
- (8) In order to obtain the information deemed necessary for its investigation, the Commission sent an MET and IT claim form and a questionnaire to the applicant and received replies within the deadlines set for that purpose.
- (9) The Commission sought and verified all information deemed necessary for the determination of dumping. The Commission carried out verification visits at the premises of the applicant and its related company:

— Header Plan Co. Ltd (Header Plan), Binh Hoa County, Vietnam,

— Header Plan Inc., Taipei, Taiwan.

- (10) In view of the possible need to establish some elements (SG&A cost and profit rate) of the normal value as explained in recitals 22 to 25, verification visits to establish these rates on the basis of data from another country, in this case Taiwan, took place at the premises of the following companies:

— Jin Shing Stainless Ind. Co. Ltd, Taoyuan,

— Yi Tai Shen Co. Ltd, Tainan.

B. PRODUCT CONCERNED AND LIKE PRODUCT

1. Product concerned

- (11) The product concerned by the present review is the same as that in the original investigation, that is to say certain SSF (the product concerned). It is currently classifiable within CN codes 7318 12 10, 7318 14 10, 7318 15 30, 7318 15 51, 7318 15 61 and 7318 15 70. There are many types of SSF (the most common ones are bolts and screws), each one being defined by its specific physical and technical characteristics and by the grade of stainless steel from which it is made.

2. Like product

- (12) The investigation revealed that the applicant did not sell the product concerned on the Vietnamese domestic market. It also showed that SSF produced and sold on the Taiwanese domestic market and those exported to the Community from Vietnam have the same physical, chemical and technical characteristics and uses. It is therefore concluded that all are like products within the meaning of Article 1(4) of the basic Regulation. Since the present review was limited to the determination of dumping as far as the applicant is concerned, no conclusions were reached with regard to the product produced and sold by the Community industry on the Community market.

C. RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

1. Market economy treatment (MET)

- (13) In anti-dumping investigations concerning imports originating in Vietnam, normal value shall be determined in accordance with paragraphs 1 to 6 of Article 2 of the basic Regulation for those producers which were found to meet the criteria laid down in Article 2(7)(c) thereof.

- (14) Briefly, and for ease of reference only, the criteria in Article 2(7)(c) of the basic Regulation, fulfilment of which the applicant companies have to demonstrate, are set out in summarised form below:

— business decisions and costs are made in response to market conditions, and without significant State interference,

— accounting records are independently audited in line with international accounting standards and applied for all purposes,

— there are no significant distortions carried over from the former non-market economy system,

— legal certainty and stability are provided by bankruptcy and property laws,

— currency exchanges are carried out at the market rate.

- (15) The applicant requested MET pursuant to Article 2(7)(b) of the basic Regulation and submitted a claim form for exporting producers. The Commission sought and verified at the premises of the applicant all information submitted in the company's request and deemed necessary.

- (16) The present investigation revealed that the situation of the applicant changed since the original investigation. It was found that the applicant now meets all five MET criteria. In particular, the reasons why MET was denied in the original investigation were found to be no longer applicable and no other circumstances were found which could lead to a rejection of the MET claim. Therefore, after consulting the Advisory Committee, the applicant was granted MET.
- (17) Both the applicant and the Community industry were given an opportunity to comment on the above findings.
- (18) The Community industry objected to the above findings by claiming that there would be a risk of circumvention by channelling exports from Taiwan via Vietnam.
- (19) It should first be noted that there is no link between granting MET to the applicant and any potential circumvention from Taiwan, since this may even be possible in case no MET is granted to the applicant. Secondly, the Community industry did not come forward with any underlying evidence supporting the allegations made. Finally, it is underlined that the Community industry did not object to the above findings, that is to say that the applicant fulfilled the criteria listed in Article 2(7)(c) of the basic Regulation, which are the only relevant criteria to assess whether the company fulfilled the conditions to be granted MET. The claims of the Community industry had therefore to be rejected.

2. Dumping

2.1. Normal value

- (20) The applicant had no domestic sales in Vietnam of the product concerned. Whenever domestic prices cannot be used in order to establish normal value, another method has to be applied. In accordance with Article 2(3) of the basic Regulation the Commission instead calculated a constructed normal value, as follows.
- (21) Normal value was constructed by adding to the applicant's manufacturing costs a reasonable amount for selling, general and administrative expenses (SG&A) and a reasonable margin of profit.
- (22) Since the applicant had no domestic sales of the product concerned or of the same general category of product, and because the investigation was limited to one company, SG&A costs and profits could not be established pursuant to the methods set out in Article 2(6)(a) and Article 2(6)(b) of the basic Regulation. Instead,

another reasonable method had to be found based on Article 2(6)(c) of the basic Regulation.

- (23) In the event that the applicant would be granted MET, it was foreseen in point 5(d) of the notice of initiation, to also use findings concerning the normal value established in an appropriate market economy country, e.g. for the purpose of replacing any unreliable cost or price elements in Vietnam which are needed in establishing the normal value, if reliable required data are not available in Vietnam. It was found reasonable to use the SG&A costs and profit rates of exporting producers of the like product in another country, in this case Taiwan, according to Article 2(6)(c) of the basic Regulation.
- (24) The domestic sales of the Taiwanese producers were found to have been made in the ordinary course of trade. Consequently, the SG&A costs and profit rates were calculated on their proportion to the total turnover for each product type.
- (25) The weighted average SG&A costs and profit rate of the Taiwanese companies were added to the manufacturing cost of the applicant to establish the constructed normal value.

2.2. Export price

- (26) All sales of the products concerned to the Community during the investigation period were made by a related company in Taiwan. Export prices were established in accordance with Article 2(9) of the basic Regulation, that is to say using the prices actually paid or payable to the related company by the first independent buyer in the Community in the RIP.

2.3. Comparison

- (27) The comparison between normal value and export price was made on an ex-factory basis.
- (28) For the purpose of ensuring a fair comparison between the normal value and the export price, due allowance in the form of adjustments was made for differences affecting price and price comparability in accordance with Article 2(10) of the basic Regulation. Accordingly, adjustments were made for differences in transport, packing, credit cost, bank charges, commissions, rebates and insurance where applicable and supported by verified evidence. Appropriate adjustments were granted in all cases where they were found to be reasonable, accurate and supported by verified evidence.

2.4. Dumping margin

- (29) The dumping margin was established on the basis of a comparison of a weighted average normal value with a weighted average export price, in accordance with Article 2(11) of the basic Regulation.
- (30) The comparison as described above showed the existence of no dumping.

3. Lasting nature of the circumstances prevailing during the RIP

- (31) In accordance with Article 11(3) of the basic Regulation, it was examined whether the circumstances on the basis of which the current dumping margin was based have changed and whether such change was of a lasting nature.
- (32) Firstly, it should be noted that the applicant was able to prove that it should be granted MET, and was therefore eligible for its own individual dumping margin. There was no indication that this situation would change in the foreseeable future.
- (33) The price of the product concerned charged to the Community and to third countries did not differ significantly and followed the same trend between 2005 and the IP.
- (34) The investigation showed that the behaviour of the applicant, including the circumstances that led to the initiation of the present review, were unlikely to change in the foreseeable future in a manner that would affect the findings of the present review. This would therefore suggest that the changes concerned were of a lasting nature and therefore the conclusions of the review were long-lasting.

D. AMENDMENT OF THE MEASURES

- (35) In view of the findings of no dumping as well as the lasting nature of the changed circumstances, it is

considered that the continued imposition of the measure on imports from the applicant is not necessary to offset dumping. The measures imposed by Regulation (EC) No 1890/2005 on imports of certain SSF originating in Vietnam should therefore be repealed for HPV by amending that Regulation accordingly.

- (36) The applicant as well as the other parties concerned were informed of the facts and considerations on the basis of which it was intended to propose the repeal of the measures. No comments were received which would warrant a change in the above conclusions,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1

1. The part of the table in Article 1(3) of Regulation (EC) No 1890/2005 concerning the definitive anti-dumping duty applicable on imports of certain stainless steel fasteners and parts thereof originating in Vietnam shall be replaced by the following:

Country	Exporting producer	Rate of duty (%)	TARIC additional code
Vietnam	Header Plan Co. Ltd	0	A958
	All other companies	7,7	A999'

2. Unless otherwise specified, the provisions in force concerning customs duties shall apply.

Article 2

This Regulation shall enter into force on the day following its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 17 August 2009.

For the Council
The President
C. BILDT

COMMISSION REGULATION (EC) No 769/2009**of 24 August 2009****establishing the standard import values for determining the entry price of certain fruit and vegetables**

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EC) No 1234/2007 of 22 October 2007 establishing a common organisation of agricultural markets and on specific provisions for certain agricultural products (Single CMO Regulation) ⁽¹⁾,

Having regard to Commission Regulation (EC) No 1580/2007 of 21 December 2007 laying down implementing rules for Council Regulations (EC) No 2200/96, (EC) No 2201/96 and (EC) No 1182/2007 in the fruit and vegetable sector ⁽²⁾, and in particular Article 138(1) thereof,

Whereas:

Regulation (EC) No 1580/2007 lays down, pursuant to the outcome of the Uruguay Round multilateral trade negotiations, the criteria whereby the Commission fixes the standard values for imports from third countries, in respect of the products and periods stipulated in Annex XV, Part A thereto,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1

The standard import values referred to in Article 138 of Regulation (EC) No 1580/2007 are fixed in the Annex hereto.

Article 2

This Regulation shall enter into force on 25 August 2009.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 24 August 2009.

For the Commission

Jean-Luc DEMARTY

*Director-General for Agriculture and
Rural Development*

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 299, 16.11.2007, p. 1.

⁽²⁾ OJ L 350, 31.12.2007, p. 1.

ANNEX

Standard import values for determining the entry price of certain fruit and vegetables

(EUR/100 kg)

CN code	Third country code ⁽¹⁾	Standard import value
0702 00 00	MK	33,6
	XS	27,8
	ZZ	30,7
0707 00 05	MK	33,2
	TR	101,7
	ZZ	67,5
0709 90 70	TR	108,1
	ZZ	108,1
0805 50 10	AR	84,2
	UY	79,3
	ZA	88,3
	ZZ	83,9
0806 10 10	EG	174,8
	IL	86,7
	TR	110,7
	ZA	151,7
	ZZ	131,0
0808 10 80	AR	111,5
	BR	72,6
	CL	81,1
	NZ	83,2
	US	95,4
	UY	42,1
	ZA	84,4
	ZZ	81,5
0808 20 50	AR	113,9
	CN	60,3
	TR	132,7
	ZA	100,6
	ZZ	101,9
0809 30	TR	134,5
	ZZ	134,5
0809 40 05	TR	90,9
	ZZ	90,9

⁽¹⁾ Nomenclature of countries laid down by Commission Regulation (EC) No 1833/2006 (OJ L 354, 14.12.2006, p. 19). Code 'ZZ' stands for 'of other origin'.

CORRIGENDA**Corrigendum to Commission Regulation (EC) No 951/2007 of 9 August 2007 laying down implementing rules for cross-border cooperation programmes financed under Regulation (EC) No 1638/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council laying down general provisions establishing a European Neighbourhood and Partnership Instrument**

(Official Journal of the European Union L 210 of 10 August 2007)

1. On page 10, recital 4 of the preamble:

for: 'Regulation (EC) No 1628/2006',

read: 'Regulation (EC) No 1638/2006';

2. on page 18, Article 22, second paragraph:

for: 'The Joint Managing Authority shall present the Joint Monitoring Committee with reports reconciling these accounts with the balance in the bank account for the programme to accompany the annual report and any request for additional pre-financing.';

read: 'The Joint Managing Authority shall present the Joint Monitoring Committee and the Commission with reports reconciling these accounts with the balance in the bank account for the programme to accompany the annual report and any request for additional pre-financing.';

3. on page 22, Article 35, second and third paragraphs:

for: 'They may not be considered part of the minimum 10 % co-financing requirement for the participating countries referred to in Article 20.

The cost of staff assigned by participating countries to technical assistance for the programme shall not be considered a contribution in kind and cannot be considered as co-financing in the budget of the programme, with the exception of the initial in-kind contribution by the Joint Managing Authority referred to in Article 19(3) of this Regulation.';

read: 'They may not be considered part of the minimum 10 % co-financing requirement for the participating countries referred to in Article 20, with the exception of the initial in-kind contribution by the Joint Managing Authority referred to in Article 19(3) of this Regulation.

The cost of staff assigned by participating countries to technical assistance for the programme shall not be considered a contribution in kind and cannot be considered as co-financing in the budget of the programme.';

4. on page 23, Article 40(2), first subparagraph:

for: '2. The applicants and partners referred to in paragraph 1 are established in regions defined in Article 4(a) and (b) and comply with the eligibility criteria defined in Article 23(2) of this Regulation.';

read: '2. The applicants and partners referred to in paragraph 1 shall be established in regions defined in Article 4(a) and (b) and comply with the eligibility criteria defined in Article 23(2) of this Regulation.';

5. on page 23, Article 41, second paragraph:

for: 'Projects take place in regions defined in Article 4(a) and (b) of this Regulation.';

read: 'Projects shall take place in regions defined in Article 4(a) and (b) of this Regulation.';

2009 SUBSCRIPTION PRICES (excluding VAT, including normal transport charges)

EU Official Journal, L + C series, paper edition only	22 official EU languages	EUR 1 000 per year (*)
EU Official Journal, L + C series, paper edition only	22 official EU languages	EUR 100 per month (*)
EU Official Journal, L + C series, paper + annual CD-ROM	22 official EU languages	EUR 1 200 per year
EU Official Journal, L series, paper edition only	22 official EU languages	EUR 700 per year
EU Official Journal, L series, paper edition only	22 official EU languages	EUR 70 per month
EU Official Journal, C series, paper edition only	22 official EU languages	EUR 400 per year
EU Official Journal, C series, paper edition only	22 official EU languages	EUR 40 per month
EU Official Journal, L + C series, monthly CD-ROM (cumulative)	22 official EU languages	EUR 500 per year
Supplement to the Official Journal (S series), tendering procedures for public contracts, CD-ROM, two editions per week	multilingual: 23 official EU languages	EUR 360 per year (= EUR 30 per month)
EU Official Journal, C series — recruitment competitions	Language(s) according to competition(s)	EUR 50 per year

(*) Sold in single issues: up to 32 pages: EUR 6
from 33 to 64 pages: EUR 12
over 64 pages: Priced individually.

Subscriptions to the *Official Journal of the European Union*, which is published in the official languages of the European Union, are available for 22 language versions. The Official Journal comprises two series, L (Legislation) and C (Information and Notices).

A separate subscription must be taken out for each language version.

In accordance with Council Regulation (EC) No 920/2005, published in Official Journal L 156 of 18 June 2005, the institutions of the European Union are temporarily not bound by the obligation to draft all acts in Irish and publish them in that language. Irish editions of the Official Journal are therefore sold separately.

Subscriptions to the Supplement to the Official Journal (S Series — tendering procedures for public contracts) cover all 23 official language versions on a single multilingual CD-ROM.

On request, subscribers to the *Official Journal of the European Union* can receive the various Annexes to the Official Journal. Subscribers are informed of the publication of Annexes by notices inserted in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.

Sales and subscriptions

Priced publications issued by the Publications Office are available from our commercial distributors. The list of commercial distributors is available at:

http://publications.europa.eu/others/agents/index_en.htm

EUR-Lex (<http://eur-lex.europa.eu>) offers direct access to European Union legislation free of charge. The *Official Journal of the European Union* can be consulted on this website, as can the Treaties, legislation, case-law and preparatory acts.

For further information on the European Union, see: <http://europa.eu>